

DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm 1324

„Extraktion quantifizierbarer Information aus komplexen Systemen“

Computational Methods for the Fourier Analysis of Sparse High-Dimensional Functions

L. Kämmerer, S. Kunis, I. Melzer, D. Potts, T. Volkmer

Preprint 166



Edited by

AG Numerik/Optimierung
Fachbereich 12 - Mathematik und Informatik
Philipps-Universität Marburg
Hans-Meerwein-Str.
35032 Marburg


DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm 1324

„Extraktion quantifizierbarer Information aus komplexen Systemen“

Computational Methods for the Fourier Analysis of Sparse High-Dimensional Functions

L. Kämmerer, S. Kunis, I. Melzer, D. Potts, T. Volkmer

Preprint 166



The consecutive numbering of the publications is determined by their chronological order.

The aim of this preprint series is to make new research rapidly available for scientific discussion. Therefore, the responsibility for the contents is solely due to the authors. The publications will be distributed by the authors.

Computational Methods for the Fourier Analysis of Sparse High-Dimensional Functions

Lutz Kämmerer, Stefan Kunis, Ines Melzer, Daniel Potts, and Toni Volkmer

Abstract A straightforward discretisation of high-dimensional problems often leads to a curse of dimensions and thus the use of sparsity has become a popular tool. Efficient algorithms like the fast Fourier transform (FFT) have to be customised to these thinner discretisations and we focus on two major topics regarding the Fourier analysis of high-dimensional functions: We present stable and effective algorithms for the fast evaluation and reconstruction of multivariate trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on an index set $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$.

1 Introduction

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ be the spatial dimension and $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d \simeq [0, 1)^d$ denote the torus. We consider multivariate trigonometric polynomials $f : \mathbb{T}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{C}$ supported on the frequency index set $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ of finite cardinality. The evaluation of the trigonometric polynomial

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (1)$$

at a sampling set $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{T}^d$ of finite cardinality can be written as the matrix-vector product

Stefan Kunis*, Ines Melzer
Institut für Mathematik, Universität Osnabrück, *and Institute of Computational Biology,
Helmholtz Zentrum München, Germany
e-mail: {stefan.kunis,ines.melzer}@uos.de

Lutz Kämmerer and Daniel Potts and Toni Volkmer
Fakultät für Mathematik, Technische Universität Chemnitz, Germany
e-mail: {lutz.kaemmerer,daniel.potts,toni.volkmer}@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{f}}, \quad \mathbf{f} = (f(\mathbf{x}))_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{X}|}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{f}} = (\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|}, \quad (2)$$

with the Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{I}) = (e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}})_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}, \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{X}| \times |\mathcal{I}|}$.

We are interested in the following two problems:

1. Evaluation: given a support $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}$, and sampling nodes $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_\ell \in \mathbb{T}^d : \ell = 0, \dots, L-1\}$, evaluate the trigonometric polynomial (1) efficiently, i.e., compute $\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{f}}$ by means of a fast algorithm.
2. Reconstruction: given a support of Fourier coefficients $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, construct a set of sampling nodes $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{T}^d$ with small cardinality $L = |\mathcal{X}|$ which allows for the unique and stable reconstruction of all multivariate trigonometric polynomials (1) from their sampling values $f(\mathbf{x}_\ell)$. In particular, solve the system of linear equations $\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{f}} \approx \mathbf{f}$.

As an extension to the reconstruction problem, we considered the efficient approximate reconstruction of a smooth function from subspaces of the Wiener algebra by a trigonometric polynomial (1), which guarantees a good approximation to the function, cf. [37, 38].

2 Evaluation of multivariate trigonometric polynomials

One cornerstone in numerical Fourier analysis is the fast computation of certain trigonometric sums. A straightforward evaluation of the trigonometric polynomial (1) at all sampling nodes $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{T}^d$, or equivalently the matrix vector multiplication (2), takes a quadratic number $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{I}|)$ of floating point operations. For equidistant cartesian grids, the well known fast Fourier transform (FFT) reduces this complexity to an almost linear scaling and this has proven an important reason for the success of numerical Fourier analysis in the last century. More recently, the concept of sparse discretisations has gained a lot of attention and we discuss three variants for the evaluation of sparse trigonometric sums subsequently.

2.1 Fast Fourier transform

We consider multivariate trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on the full grid, i.e., with Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}$ are defined on the full d -dimensional set $\mathcal{I} := \hat{G}_n^d = \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \times_{j=1}^d (-2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}]$ of refinement $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and bandwidth $N = 2^n$ with the cardinality $|\mathcal{I}| = N^d$. The evaluation of the trigonometric polynomial

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \hat{G}_n^d} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (3)$$

at all sampling nodes of an equispaced grid $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} = (2^{-n} \hat{G}_n^d \bmod \mathbf{1})$, with the cardinality $|\mathcal{X}| = N^d$, requires only $\mathcal{O}(2^{nd}n) = \mathcal{O}(N^d \log N)$ floating point operations by the famous fast Fourier transform (FFT). A well understood generalisation considers an arbitrary sampling set $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_\ell \in \mathbb{T}^d : \ell = 0, \dots, L-1\}$ and leads to the so-called nonequispaced fast Fourier transform (NFFT) which takes $\mathcal{O}(2^{nd}n + |\log \varepsilon|^d L) = \mathcal{O}(N^d \log N + |\log \varepsilon|^d L)$ floating point operations for a target accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$, see e.g. [16, 5, 60, 52, 40] and the references therein. In both cases, already the huge cardinality of the support \hat{G}_n^d of the Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}$ causes immense computational costs for high dimensions d even for moderate refinement n . Hence, we restrict the index set \mathcal{I} to smaller sets.

2.2 Hyperbolic cross FFT

Functions of dominating mixed smoothness can be well approximated by multivariate trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on reduced frequency index sets, so called dyadic hyperbolic crosses

$$\mathcal{I} = H_n^d := \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \\ \|\mathbf{j}\|_1 = n}} \left(\mathbb{Z}^d \cap \times_{l=1}^d (-2^{j_l-1}, 2^{j_l-1}] \right)$$

of dimension d and refinement n , cf. [58]. Compared to the trigonometric polynomial in (3), we strongly reduce the number of used Fourier coefficients $|H_n^d| = \mathcal{O}(2^n n^{d-1}) \ll 2^{nd}$. A natural spatial discretisation of trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on the dyadic hyperbolic cross H_n^d is given by the sparse grid

$$\mathcal{X} = S_n^d := \bigcup_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_0^d \\ \|\mathbf{j}\|_1 = n}} \times_{l=1}^d 2^{-j_l} (\mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, 2^{j_l})).$$

The cardinalities of the sparse grid and the dyadic hyperbolic cross are $|S_n^d| = |H_n^d| = \mathcal{O}(2^n n^{d-1})$. Fig. 1a(left) shows an example for a two-dimensional dyadic hyperbolic cross and Fig. 1a(right) depicts the corresponding sparse grid of identical cardinality. Based on [3, 27] there exists a fast algorithm for evaluating the trigonometric polynomial with frequencies supported on the hyperbolic cross H_n^d at all $\mathbf{x} \in S_n^d$ in $\mathcal{O}(2^n n^d)$ floating point operations, called hyperbolic cross fast Fourier transform (HCFFT). A gen-

eralisation to sparser index sets, i.e., to index sets for so called energy-norm based hyperbolic crosses, is presented in [22].




Fig. 1a Dyadic hyperbolic cross H_5^2 (left) and sparse grid S_5^2 (right).




Fig. 1b Rank-1 lattice (left) and generated set $A(\mathbf{r}, M)$ (right), $M = 163$.
 $\mathbf{r} = M^{-1}(1, 17)^\top$ $\mathbf{r} = (0.7907, 0.9128)^\top$

2.3 Lattice and generated set FFT

Using lattices as sampling set \mathcal{X} is motivated from the numerical integration of functions of many variables by lattice rules, see [59, 48, 14] for an introduction. In contrast to general lattices which may be spanned by several vectors, we only consider so-called rank-1 lattices and a generalisation of this concept called generated sets [32]. For a given number $L \in \mathbb{N}$ of sampling nodes and a generating vector $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define the generated set

$$\mathcal{X} = A(\mathbf{r}, L) := \{\mathbf{x}_\ell = \ell \mathbf{r} \bmod \mathbf{1}, \ell = 0, \dots, L-1\} \subset \mathbb{T}^d.$$

For $\ell = 0, \dots, L-1$, the evaluation of a d -variate trigonometric polynomial supported on an arbitrary frequency index set \mathcal{I} simplifies dramatically since

$$f(\mathbf{x}_\ell) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_\ell} = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{2\pi i \ell \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \hat{g}_y e^{2\pi i \ell y}, \quad (4)$$

with some set $\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} \bmod 1 : \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathbb{T}$ and the aliased coefficients

$$\hat{g}_y = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} \equiv y \pmod{1}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}. \quad (5)$$

Using a one-dimensional adjoint NFFT [40], this takes $\mathcal{O}(L \log L + (d + |\log \varepsilon|)|\mathcal{I}|)$ floating point operations for a target accuracy $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, given $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and a generating vector $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{z}/L$, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, the sampling scheme $A(\mathbf{r}, L)$ is called rank-1 lattice and the computational costs of the evaluation reduce to $\mathcal{O}(L \log L + d|\mathcal{I}|)$ by applying a one dimensional FFT. We stress on the fact that in both cases, the computational costs only depend on the number L of samples subsequent to the aliasing step (5) which takes $d|\mathcal{I}|$

floating point operations. Fig. 1b(left) and Fig. 1b(right) show an example for a two-dimensional rank-1 lattice and generated set, respectively.

2.4 Butterfly sparse FFT

Another generalisation of the classical FFT to nonequispaced nodes has been suggested in [1, 63, 41]. While the above mentioned NFFT still relies on an equispaced FFT, the so-called butterfly scheme only relies on local low rank approximations of the complex exponentials - in particular this locality allows for its application to sparse data. The idea of local low rank approximations can be traced back at least to [21, 64, 4, 26] for smooth kernel functions and to [46, 65, 49, 62, 13] for oscillatory kernels. In a linear algebra setting, it was pointed out in [17] that certain blocks of the Fourier matrix are approximately of low rank.

We consider real frequencies $\mathcal{I} \subset [0, 2^n)^d$ and nonequispaced evaluation nodes $\mathbf{x}_\ell \in \mathcal{X} \subset [0, 1)^d$ in

$$f(\mathbf{x}_\ell) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_\ell}, \quad \ell = 0, \dots, L-1. \quad (6)$$

For ease of notation, we outline the main idea for the one-dimensional case. We decompose both domains dyadically starting with the whole interval $[0, 2^n)$ and $[0, 1)$ as root, respectively, see also Fig. 2(left) and 2(middle). Each pair of a frequency interval in the $(n-j)$ -th level and a space interval in the j -th level now fulfils the admissibility condition $\text{diam}(\mathcal{I}') \text{diam}(\mathcal{X}') \leq 1$. These pairs are depicted in Fig. 2(right), where an edge in this butterfly graph is set if and only if the associated pairs of intervals are connected in both trees. We note that the properly frequency shifted exponential function is a




Fig. 2 Trees and butterfly graph for $N = 4$.

smooth function within the admissible region and can be well approximated

by a trigonometric sum with equispaced frequencies interpolating in Chebyshev nodes, see [41, Thm. 2.6] for details.

The generalisation to spatial dimension $d \geq 2$ is straightforward by decomposing $\mathcal{I} \subset [0, 2^n)^d$ and $\mathcal{X} \subset [0, 1)^d$ dyadically in each coordinate, using a tensor product ansatz, and interpolate in a product grid. The butterfly scheme now traverses the butterfly graph top down. We start in the zeroth level, sum frequencies in the finest decomposition, and approximate on the whole spatial domain. In each subsequent level, we sum up two predecessors including more frequencies and approximate on each smaller spatial box. The final approximation is a function piecewise defined on the finest spatial decomposition. The butterfly scheme guarantees the following target accuracy.

Theorem 1. ([41, Thm. 3.1]). *Let $d, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \geq 5$, $\mathcal{I} \subset [0, 2^n)^d$, $\mathcal{X} \subset [0, 1)^d$, and the trigonometric sum f as in (6), then the butterfly approximation g obeys the error estimate*

$$\|f - g\|_\infty \leq \frac{(C_p + 1)(C_p^{d(n+1)} - 1)}{C_p - 1} c_p \|\hat{\mathbf{f}}\|_1.$$

The constants are explicitly given by

$$K_p := \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{(1 - \cos \frac{2\pi}{p-1})(p-1)^2} \right)^{p-1}, \quad K_p \leq \frac{\pi^4}{16}, \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} K_p = 1,$$

$$C_p := \sqrt{K_p} \left(1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \log p \right), \quad c_p := \frac{1}{\pi p} \left(\frac{\pi}{p-1} \right)^p.$$

In particular, the butterfly scheme achieves relative error at most ε if the local expansion degree fulfils $p \geq \max\{10, 2|\log \varepsilon|, 2d(n+1)\}$.

In case $1 \leq t < d$ and $|\mathcal{X}| = |\mathcal{I}| = 2^{nt}$ well distributed sets on smooth t -dimensional manifolds, the dyadic decompositions of the sets remain sparse. Consequently, the butterfly graph, which represents the admissible pairs where computations are performed, remains sparse as well and the computation of (6) takes $\mathcal{O}(2^{nt}n(n + |\log \varepsilon|)^{d+1})$ floating point operations only.

3 Reconstruction using multivariate trigonometric polynomials

Beyond the fast evaluation of Fourier expansions, the sampling problem is concerned with the recovery of the Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}$, from a sequence of function samples f_ℓ , $\ell = 0, \dots, L-1$. This inverse transform constructs a trigonometric polynomial f , see (1), such that for given data points $(\mathbf{x}_\ell, f_\ell) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{C}$, $\ell = 0, \dots, L-1$, the approximate identity

$$f(\mathbf{x}_\ell) \approx f_\ell$$

is fulfilled. Thus, we aim to solve the linear system of equations $\mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{f}} \approx \mathbf{f}$, i.e., we compute the vector of Fourier coefficients $\hat{\mathbf{f}} = (\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}})_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{C}^{|\mathcal{I}|}$ from the given vector of function samples $\mathbf{f} = (f_\ell)_{\ell=0, \dots, L-1} \in \mathbb{C}^L$. In contrast to the ordinary Fourier matrix, its generalized analogue \mathbf{A} is in general neither unitary nor square. The meaningful variants of this reconstruction problem include

1. the weighted least squares approximation

$$\|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{f}}\|_{\mathbf{W}}^2 = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} w_\ell |f_\ell - f(\mathbf{x}_\ell)|^2 \xrightarrow{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} \min, \quad (7)$$

for the over-determined case $|\mathcal{I}| < L = |\mathcal{X}|$, where the weights w_ℓ compensate for clusters in the sampling set,

2. the optimal interpolation problem

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{f}}\|_{\hat{\mathbf{W}}^{-1}}^2 = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{|\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{\hat{w}_{\mathbf{k}}} \xrightarrow{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} \min \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{f}, \quad (8)$$

for the under-determined case $|\mathcal{I}| > L = |\mathcal{X}|$, where the weights $\hat{w}_{\mathbf{k}}$ damp high-frequency components, and

3. the sparse recovery problem

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{f}}\|_0 = |\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I} : \hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} \neq 0\}| \xrightarrow{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} \min \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{f}, \quad (9)$$

for the under-determined case $|\mathcal{I}| > L = |\mathcal{X}|$.

The main tool in iterative methods to solve these three problems is the use of fast matrix-vector multiplications with the Fourier matrix \mathbf{A} and its adjoint \mathbf{A}^* as well as bounding involved condition numbers uniformly.

In the following subsections, we focus on the reconstruction of a multivariate trigonometric polynomial (1) from sampling values using different sampling schemes. Therefore, we consider different types of sampling sets \mathcal{X} as introduced in Section 2. We discuss necessary and sufficient conditions on the frequency index set \mathcal{I} and sampling set \mathcal{X} such that the unique and stable reconstruction is guaranteed.

3.1 FFT and NFFT

Analog to Section 2.1, we consider multivariate trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on the full grid $\mathcal{I} = \hat{G}_n^d$. The reconstruction of the Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \hat{G}_n^d$, from sampling values at an equispaced grid $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X} = (2^{-n}\hat{G}_n^d \bmod \mathbf{1})$, see (3), can be realized by the inverse fast Fourier transform, since the Fourier matrix $\mathbf{F} := \mathbf{A}(2^{-n}\hat{G}_n^d, \hat{G}_n^d)$ has orthog-

onal columns, and takes $\mathcal{O}(N^d \log N)$ floating point operations. This is no longer true for the nonequispaced Fourier matrix given by

$$\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{X}, \hat{G}_n^d) = \left(e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_\ell} \right)_{\ell=0, \dots, L-1, \mathbf{k} \in \hat{G}_n^d}.$$

Here, we use an iterative algorithm since the fast matrix times vector multiplication with the matrix \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}^* takes only $\mathcal{O}(2^{n_d} n + |\log \varepsilon|^d L)$ floating point operations, see [40]. The conditioning of the reconstruction problems relies on the uniformity of \mathcal{X} , measured by the mesh norm and the separation distance

$$\delta := 2 \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^d} \min_{j=0, \dots, L-1} \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}), \quad q := \min_{j, l=0, \dots, L-1; j \neq l} \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_l),$$

where $\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0) := \min_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{j}) - \mathbf{x}_0\|_\infty$, respectively.

For the overdetermined case $N^d < L$, it has been proven in [24] that the reconstruction problem (7) has a unique solution if $N < (\frac{\pi}{\log 2} d \delta)^{-1}$. The solution is computed iteratively by means of the conjugate gradient method in [18, 2, 23], where the multilevel Toeplitz structure of $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{W} \mathbf{A}$ is used for fast matrix vector multiplications. Slightly more stable with respect to rounding errors is the CGNR method, cf. [6, pp. 288], which iterates the original residual $\mathbf{r}_l = \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{f}}_l$ instead of the residual $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{W} \mathbf{r}_l$ of the normal equations. Further analysis of the numerical stability of the least squares approximation (7) relies on so-called Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities which establish norm equivalences between a trigonometric polynomial and its samples, see e.g. [61, 45, 19, 39] and references therein for specific variants.

For the underdetermined case $N^d > L$, the optimal interpolation problem (8) has been shown to be stable in [42] if the sampling set is well separated with respect to the polynomial degree and the weights $\hat{w}_{\mathbf{k}}$ are constructed by means of a so-called smoothness-decay principle. In particular, we proved that the nonequispaced Fourier matrix \mathbf{A} has full rank L for every polynomial degree $N > 2 d q^{-1}$ and proposed to solve problem (8) by a version of the conjugate gradient method in combination with the NFFT to efficiently perform each iteration step.

3.2 Hyperbolic cross FFT

For the HCFFT, see Section 2.2, there also exists a fast inverse algorithm. This inverse HCFFT is not an orthogonal transform and is realized by reverting all steps of the HCFFT, see [3, 27], which makes this spatial discretisation most attractive in terms of efficiency. Therefore, the inverse HCFFT requires also only $\mathcal{O}(2^{n_d})$ floating point operations. However, we proved in [35] that this transform is mildly ill conditioned, since the condition numbers of the Fourier matrices $\mathbf{A}(S_n^d, H_n^d)$ are bounded by

$$c_d 2^{\frac{n}{2}} n^{\frac{2d-3}{2}} \leq \text{cond}_2 \mathbf{A}(S_n^d, H_n^d) \leq C_d 2^{\frac{n}{2}} n^{2d-2}, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$c_n d^{2n} \leq \text{cond}_2 \mathbf{A}(S_n^d, H_n^d) \leq C_n d^{2n}, \quad d \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular, we lose more than 5 decimal digits of accuracy already for $d = 10$ and $n = 5$ in the worst case.

3.3 Lattice and generated set FFT

As pointed out in Section 2.3, the evaluation of multivariate trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on an arbitrary index set \mathcal{I} , i.e., the mapping from the index set \mathcal{I} in frequency domain to the rank-1 lattice in spatial domain reduces to a single one-dimensional FFT and thus can be computed very efficiently and stable. For the inverse transform, mapping the samples of a trigonometric polynomial to its Fourier coefficients on a specific frequency index set, we discuss the recently presented necessary and sufficient conditions on rank-1 lattices allowing a stable reconstruction of trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on hyperbolic crosses and the generalisation to arbitrary index sets in the frequency domain. Based on research results in the field of numerical integration [12], we suggest approaches for determining suitable rank-1 lattices using a component-by-component strategy, see [33, 34]. In conjunction with numerically found lattices, we showed that this new method outperforms the classical hyperbolic cross FFT for realistic problem sizes, cf. [36].

The use of generated sets, a generalisation of rank-1 lattices, as spatial discretisations offers an additional suitable possibility for sampling sparse trigonometric polynomials. The fast computation of trigonometric polynomials on generated sets can be realized using the NFFT. A simple sufficient condition on a generated set $\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L)$ allows the fast, unique and stable reconstruction of the frequencies of a d -dimensional trigonometric polynomial from its samples along $\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L)$. In contrast to searching for suitable rank-1 lattices, we can use continuous optimization methods in order to determine generated sets that are suitable for reconstruction, see [32].

Reconstruction using rank-1 lattices. In the following, a rank-lattice that allows for the unique reconstruction of all trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on the frequency index set \mathcal{I} is called *reconstructing rank-lattice* for \mathcal{I} . In order to state constructive existence results for reconstructing rank-1 lattices, we define the difference set

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}) := \{\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{l} : \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l} \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

of the frequency index set \mathcal{I} . As a consequence of [34, Cor. 1] we formulate the following

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{a} \in [0, |\mathcal{I}| - 1]^d\}$ for a fixed $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ being a frequency index set of finite cardinality. Then there exists a reconstructing rank-1 lattice of prime cardinality L ,*

$$|\mathcal{I}| \leq L \leq |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})| \leq |\mathcal{I}|^2, \quad (10)$$

such that all multivariate trigonometric polynomials f with frequencies supported on \mathcal{I} can be reconstructed from the sampling values $(f(\mathbf{x}))_{\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L)}$. Moreover, the corresponding generating vector $\mathbf{r} \in L^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ can be determined using a component-by-component strategy and the reconstruction of the Fourier coefficients can be realized by a single one-dimensional FFT of length L , and thus takes $\mathcal{O}(L \log L + d|\mathcal{I}|)$ floating point operations.

Proof. The result follows from [34, Cor. 1], Bertrand’s postulate, and equations (4) and (5). \square

We stress on the fact, that [34, Cor. 1] is a more general result on arbitrary frequency index sets \mathcal{I} . Some simple additional assumptions on L allow to replace the condition $\mathcal{I} \subset \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{a} \in [0, |\mathcal{I}| - 1]^d\}$ by $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d, |\mathcal{I}| < \infty$.

In fact, the cardinality of the difference set $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})$ is the theoretical upper bound in (10) for the number of samples needed to reconstruct trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on the index set \mathcal{I} using a rank-1 lattice. This cardinality depends mainly on the structure of \mathcal{I} .

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{I} = I_{p,N}^d := \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|\mathbf{k}\|_p \leq N\}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be the ℓ_p -ball, $0 < p \leq \infty$, of size N , see Fig. 3. The cardinality of $I_{p,N}^d$ is bounded by $c_{p,d}N^d \leq |I_{p,N}^d| \leq C_d N^d$ and $c_{p,d}N^d \leq \mathcal{D}(I_{p,N}^d) \leq C_d 2^d N^d$, $c_{p,d}, C_d \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < c_{p,d} \leq C_d$. Consequently, we can find a reconstructing rank-1 lattice of size $L \leq \tilde{C}_{p,d} |I_{p,N}^d|$, $\tilde{C}_{p,d} > 0$, using a component-by-component strategy.

On the other hand, we obtain for the limit $p \rightarrow 0$ the frequency index set $\mathcal{I} := \{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \|\mathbf{k}\|_1 = \|\mathbf{k}\|_\infty \leq N\}$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, which is supported on the coordinate axis. We have $|\mathcal{I}| = 2dN + 1$ and $(2N + 1)^2 \leq |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})| \leq (2dN + 1)^2$. Hence, we estimate $\tilde{c}_d |\mathcal{I}|^2 \leq |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})|$, $\tilde{c}_d \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \tilde{c}_d$, and the theoretical upper bound on L is quadratic in $|\mathcal{I}|$ for fixed dimension d . In fact, reconstructing rank-1 lattices for these specific frequency index sets need at least a number of $L \in \Omega(N^2)$ nodes, cf. [36, Thm. 3.5]. \square

Example 2. More useful frequency index sets in higher dimensions $d > 2$ are so-called (energy-norm based) hyperbolic crosses, cf. [3, 7, 8, 66]. In particular, we consider frequency index sets \mathcal{I} of the form

$$\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T} := \left\{ \mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \max(1, \|\mathbf{k}\|_1)^{\frac{T}{T-1}} \prod_{s=1}^d \max(1, |k_s|)^{\frac{1}{1-T}} \leq N \right\},$$

with parameter $T \in [0, 1)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, see Fig. 4 for illustration. The frequency index set $\mathcal{I}_N^{d,0}$, i.e., $T = 0$, is in fact a symmetric hyperbolic cross




Fig. 3 Two-dimensional frequency index sets $I_{p,16}^2$ for $p \in \{\frac{1}{2}, 1, 2, \infty\}$.

and frequency index sets $\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T}$, $T \in (0, 1)$, are called energy-norm based hyperbolic crosses. The cardinality of $\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T}$ can be estimated, cf. [37, Lem. 2.6], by

$$\begin{aligned} c_{d,0}N \log^{d-1} N &\leq |\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T}| \leq C_{d,0}N \log^{d-1} N, \quad \text{for } T = 0, \\ c_{d,T}N &\leq |\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T}| \leq C_{d,T}N, \quad \text{for } T \in (0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{d,T}, C_{d,T} \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < c_{d,T} \leq C_{d,T}$. Since the axis cross is a subset of the considered frequency index sets, i.e., $\{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d: \|\mathbf{k}\|_1 = \|\mathbf{k}\|_\infty \leq N\} \subset \mathcal{I}_N^{d,T}$, $T \in [0, 1)$, we obtain $(2N + 1)^2 \leq |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T})|$. On the other hand, we obtain upper bounds of the cardinality of the difference set $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T})$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T})| &\leq \tilde{C}_{d,0}N^2 \log^{d-2} N, \quad \text{for } T = 0, \text{ cf. [33, Thm. 4.8]}, \\ |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T})| &\leq |\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T}|^2 \leq C_{d,T}^2 N^2, \quad \text{for } T \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, Theorem 2 offers a constructive strategy in order to find reconstructing rank-1 lattices for $\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T}$ of cardinality $L \leq |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I}_N^{d,T})|$. We would like to stress that, at least for $T \in (0, 1)$, we are able to construct rank-1 lattices of optimal order in N , cf. [33, Lem. 2.1, 2.3, and Cor. 2.4].

For instance, Fig. 1b(left) shows a reconstructing rank-1 lattice for the symmetric hyperbolic cross $\mathcal{I}_8^{2,0}$ and Fig. 1b(right) shows an example for a generated set, which allows the exact reconstruction of multivariate trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on $\mathcal{I}_8^{2,0}$. The condition number of the Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{I})$ is always one when \mathcal{X} is a reconstructing rank-1 lattice for \mathcal{I} , since the columns of the Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{I})$ are orthogonal. When the frequency index set $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_8^{2,0}$ and \mathcal{X} is the specific generated set in Fig. 1b(right), then the condition number of the Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{I})$ is approximately 2.19. \square

Reconstruction using generated sets. Up to now, we discussed reconstructing rank-1 lattices. We generalized this concept to so-called generated sets, cf. Section 2.3 and determined sufficient and necessary conditions on generated sets $\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L)$ guaranteeing a full rank and stable Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A}(\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L), \mathcal{I})$ in [32]. In general, the set $\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} \bmod 1 : \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}\} \subset \mathbb{T}$ is of




Fig. 4 Two-dimensional frequency index sets $\mathcal{I}_{32}^{2,T}$ for $T \in \{0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\}$.

our main interest, where $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the generating vector of the generated set $\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L)$. We determined the necessary condition $|\mathcal{Y}| = |\mathcal{I}|$ in order to obtain a Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A}(\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L), \mathcal{I})$ of full column rank.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be an arbitrary d -dimensional index set of finite cardinality $|\mathcal{I}|$. Then, the exact reconstruction of a trigonometric polynomial with frequencies supported on \mathcal{I} is possible from only $|\mathcal{I}|$ samples using a suitable generated set.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a vector such that

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} \bmod 1 \neq \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r} \bmod 1 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \in \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}'. \quad (11)$$

For instance, Theorem 2 guarantees the existence of a reconstructing rank-1 lattice $\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L)$ for the index set \mathcal{I} , where $\mathbf{r} \in L^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^d$ fulfills property (11). The corresponding Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A} := (e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}^\ell})_{\ell=0, \dots, L-1; \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} = (e^{(2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})^\ell})_{\ell=0, \dots, L-1; \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a transposed Vandermonde matrix of (full column) rank $|\mathcal{I}|$. If we use only the first $|\mathcal{I}|$ rows of the matrix \mathbf{A} and denote this matrix by $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} := (e^{(2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})^\ell})_{\ell=0, \dots, |\mathcal{I}|-1; \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}} = (e^{(2\pi i y_j)^\ell})_{\ell=0, \dots, |\mathcal{I}|-1; j=0, \dots, |\mathcal{I}|-1}$ is a transposed Vandermonde matrix of size $|\mathcal{I}| \times |\mathcal{I}|$, where $y_j := \mathbf{k}_j \cdot \mathbf{r} \bmod 1$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\mathbf{k}_0, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{|\mathcal{I}|-1}\}$ in the specified order. Furthermore, the determinant of the transposed Vandermonde matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$, cf. [31, Sec. 6.1], is $\det \tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \prod_{1 \leq k < j \leq |\mathcal{I}|-1} (e^{2\pi i y_j} - e^{2\pi i y_k}) \neq 0$, since we have $e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \neq e^{2\pi i \mathbf{k}' \cdot \mathbf{r}}$ for all $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}' \in \mathcal{I}, \mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{k}'$, due to property (11). This means the transposed Vandermonde matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ has full rank $|\mathcal{I}|$ and is invertible. \square

Theorem 3 states that $L = |\mathcal{I}|$ many samples are sufficient to exactly reconstruct a trigonometric polynomial with frequencies supported on the index set \mathcal{I} . In general, we obtain a large condition number for the Fourier matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} := (e^{(2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})^\ell})_{\ell=0, \dots, |\mathcal{I}|-1; \mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}}$. Using $L > |\mathcal{I}|$ samples, we also obtain matrices $\mathbf{A}(\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L), \mathcal{I})$ of full column rank, since the first $|\mathcal{I}|$ rows of the matrix $\mathbf{A}(\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L), \mathcal{I})$ are linear independent. In practice, growing oversampling, i.e., increasing $L > |\mathcal{I}|$, decreases at least an estimator of the condition

number of $\mathbf{A}(\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L), \mathcal{I})$, as published in [32]. In this context, for each generating vector $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ bringing $|\mathcal{Y}| = |\mathcal{I}|$ and constant $C > 1$ we determined a generated set of size L_C such that the Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A}(\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L_C), \mathcal{I})$ has a condition number of at most C , cf. [32, Cor. 1]. We discuss a nonlinear optimization strategy in [32] in order to determine generated sets $\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L)$ of relatively small cardinality bringing a Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A}(\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L), \mathcal{I})$ with small condition number.

The reconstruction of multivariate trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on an fixed index set \mathcal{I} from samples along a generated set can be realized solving the normal equation, which can be done in a fast way using the one-dimensional NFFT and a conjugate gradient (CG) method. One step of the CG method needs one NFFT of length L and one adjoint NFFT of length L . Consequently, one CG step has a complexity of $\mathcal{O}(L \log L + (d + |\log \epsilon|)|\mathcal{I}|)$, cf. Section 2.3. The convergence of the CG method depends on the condition number of the Fourier matrix $\mathbf{A}(\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L), \mathcal{I})$. Hence, generated sets $\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L)$ with small condition numbers of the Fourier matrices $\mathbf{A}(\Lambda(\mathbf{r}, L), \mathcal{I})$ guarantee a fast approximative computation of the reconstruction of trigonometric polynomials with frequencies supported on the index set \mathcal{I} .

3.4 Random sampling and sparse recovery

Stable deterministic sampling schemes with a minimal number of nodes are constructed above. For arbitrary index sets of frequencies $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we showed that orthogonality of the Fourier matrix necessarily implies $|\mathcal{X}| \geq |\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{I})|$ which scales (almost) quadratically in $|\mathcal{I}|$ for several interesting cases. In contrast, injectivity of the Fourier matrix can be guaranteed for a linear scaling and numerical results also support that a small oversampling factor suffices for stable reconstruction generically. Subsequently, we discuss known results for randomly chosen sampling nodes. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, arbitrary frequencies $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ be given, and sampling nodes \mathcal{X} are drawn independently from the uniform distribution over the spatial domain \mathbb{T}^d , then [25] implies

$$\text{cond}_2 \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{I}) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}}, \quad \gamma \in (0, 1), \quad \text{if} \quad |\mathcal{X}| \geq \frac{C}{\gamma^2} |\mathcal{I}| \log \frac{|\mathcal{I}|}{\eta},$$

with probability $1 - \eta$, where $C > 0$ is some universal constant independent of the spatial dimension d . A partial derandomization can be obtained by randomly subsampling a fixed rank-1 lattice as constructed in Theorem 2.

Moreover, random sampling has been applied successfully in compressed sensing [15, 9, 20] to solve the sparse recovery problem (9), where both the support $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ as well as the Fourier coefficients $\hat{f}_{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathcal{I}$, of the expansion (1) are sought. Provided a so-called restricted isometry condition

is met, the sparse recovery problem can be solved efficiently, cf. [10, 55, 56, 57, 47, 43], and with probability at least $1 - \eta$ this is true if

$$|\mathcal{X}| \geq C|\mathcal{I}|\log^4|\mathcal{I}_0|\log\frac{1}{\eta}.$$

Well studied algorithmic approaches to actually solve the sparse recovery problem are then ℓ^1 -minimisation [11], orthogonal matching pursuit [44], and their successors. Optimal variants of these algorithms have the same arithmetic complexity as one matrix vector multiplication with $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{I}_0)$, which is however worse than the recent developments [29, 28].

Prony type methods. In contrast to compressed sensing approaches, Prony type methods aim to recover the finite and real support \mathcal{I} within the bounded interval $[-\frac{N}{2}, \frac{N}{2}]$ as well as the Fourier coefficients in the nonharmonic Fourier series

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \hat{f}_k e^{2\pi i k x},$$

from equally spaced samples $f(\frac{\ell}{N})$, $\ell = 0, \dots, L - 1$, cf. [53, 51, 50]. If the number of samples fulfils a Nyquist type relation

$$|\mathcal{X}| \geq CNq_{\mathcal{I}}^{-1}$$

with respect to the nonharmonic bandwidth N and to the separation distance $q_{\mathcal{I}} := \min\{|k - k'| : k, k' \in \mathcal{I}, k \neq k'\}$, then a newly developed variant of the Prony method solves this reconstruction problem in a stable way, see e.g. [54]. The arithmetic complexity $\mathcal{O}(|\mathcal{I}|^3)$ has been improved for integer frequencies in [30] using ideas from [29, 28].

Acknowledgements We gratefully acknowledge support by the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the Priority Program 1324, project PO 711/10-2 and KU 2557/1-2. Moreover, Ines Melzer and Stefan Kunis gratefully acknowledge their support by the Helmholtz Association within the young investigator group VH-NG-526.

References

1. A. A. Aydinler, W. C. Chew, J. Song, and T. J. Cui. A sparse data fast Fourier transform (SDFFT). *IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propagation*, 51(11):3161–3170, 2003.
2. R. F. Bass and K. Gröchenig. Random sampling of multivariate trigonometric polynomials. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 36:773 – 795, 2004.
3. G. Baszenski and F.-J. Delvos. A discrete Fourier transform scheme for Boolean sums of trigonometric operators. In C. K. Chui, W. Schempp, and K. Zeller, editors, *Multivariate Approximation Theory IV*, ISNM 90, pages 15 – 24. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989.
4. M. Bebendorf. *Hierarchical Matrices*, volume 63 of *Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering*. Springer-Verlag, 2008.

5. G. Beylkin. On the fast Fourier transform of functions with singularities. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 2:363 – 381, 1995.
6. Å. Björck. *Numerical Methods for Least Squares Problems*. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1996.
7. H.-J. Bungartz and M. Griebel. A note on the complexity of solving Poisson’s equation for spaces of bounded mixed derivatives. *J. Complexity*, 15:167 – 199, 1999.
8. H.-J. Bungartz and M. Griebel. Sparse grids. *Acta Numer.*, 13:147 – 269, 2004.
9. E. J. Candès. Compressive sampling. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. III*, pages 1433 – 1452. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
10. E. J. Candès and T. Tao. Decoding by linear programming. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 51:4203 – 4215, 2005.
11. S. S. Chen, D. L. Donoho, and M. A. Saunders. Atomic decomposition by basis pursuit. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 20:33 – 61, 1998.
12. R. Cools, F. Y. Kuo, and D. Nuyens. Constructing lattice rules based on weighted degree of exactness and worst case error. *Computing*, 87:63 – 89, 2010.
13. L. Demanet, M. Ferrara, N. Maxwell, J. Poulson, and L. Ying. A butterfly algorithm for synthetic aperture radar imaging. *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.*, 5:203 – 243, 2012.
14. J. Dick, F. Y. Kuo, and I. H. Sloan. High-dimensional integration: The quasi-monte carlo way. *Acta Numer.*, 22:133 – 288, 2013.
15. D. L. Donoho. Compressed sensing. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 52:1289 – 1306, 2006.
16. A. Dutt and V. Rokhlin. Fast Fourier transforms for nonequispaced data II. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 2:85 – 100, 1995.
17. A. Edelman, P. McCorquodale, and S. Toledo. The future fast Fourier transform? *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 20:1094 – 1114, 1999.
18. H. G. Feichtinger, K. Gröchenig, and T. Strohmer. Efficient numerical methods in non-uniform sampling theory. *Numer. Math.*, 69:423 – 440, 1995.
19. F. Filbir and W. Themistoclakis. Polynomial approximation on the sphere using scattered data. *Math. Nachr.*, 281:650 – 668, 2008.
20. S. Foucart and H. Rauhut. *A mathematical introduction to compressive sensing*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013.
21. L. Greengard and V. Rokhlin. A fast algorithm for particle simulations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 73:325 – 348, 1987.
22. M. Griebel and J. Hamaekers. Fast discrete Fourier transform on generalized sparse grids. Univ. Bonn, INS Preprint No. 1305, 2013.
23. D. Grishin and T. Strohmer. Fast multi-dimensional scattered data approximation with Neumann boundary conditions. *Lin. Alg. Appl.*, 391:99 – 123, 2004.
24. K. Gröchenig. Reconstruction algorithms in irregular sampling. *Math. Comput.*, 59:181 – 194, 1992.
25. K. Gröchenig, B. Pötscher, and H. Rauhut. Learning trigonometric polynomials from random samples and exponential inequalities for eigenvalues of random matrices. *Preprint arXiv:math/0701781*, 2007.
26. W. Hackbusch. *Hierarchische Matrizen. Algorithmen und Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, 2009.
27. K. Hallatschek. Fouriertransformation auf dünnen Gittern mit hierarchischen Basen. *Numer. Math.*, 63:83 – 97, 1992.
28. H. Hassanieh, P. Indyk, D. Katabi, and E. Price. Nearly optimal sparse Fourier transform. In *STOC*, 2012.
29. H. Hassanieh, P. Indyk, D. Katabi, and E. Price. Simple and practical algorithm for sparse Fourier transform. In *SODA*, pages 1183–1194, 2012.
30. S. Heider, S. Kunis, D. Potts, and M. Veit. A sparse prony FFT. *10th International Conference on Sampling Theory and Applications*, 2013.
31. R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1991.

32. L. Kämmerer. Reconstructing hyperbolic cross trigonometric polynomials by sampling along generated sets. In J. Dick, F. Y. Kuo, G. W. Peters, and I. H. Sloan, editors, *Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo methods 2012*, pages 439 – 454. Springer, Berlin, 2013.
33. L. Kämmerer. Reconstructing hyperbolic cross trigonometric polynomials by sampling along rank-1 lattices. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 51:2773 – 2796, 2013.
34. L. Kämmerer. Reconstructing multivariate trigonometric polynomials from samples along rank-1 lattices. *accepted*, 2013.
35. L. Kämmerer and S. Kunis. On the stability of the hyperbolic cross discrete Fourier transform. *Numer. Math.*, 117:581 – 600, 2011.
36. L. Kämmerer, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Interpolation lattices for hyperbolic cross trigonometric polynomials. *J. Complexity*, 28:76 – 92, 2012.
37. L. Kämmerer, D. Potts, and T. Volkmer. Approximation of multivariate functions by trigonometric polynomials based on rank-1 lattice sampling. *Preprint 145, DFG Priority Program 1324*, 2013.
38. L. Kämmerer, D. Potts, and T. Volkmer. Approximation of multivariate periodic functions by trigonometric polynomials based on sampling along rank-1 lattice with generating vector of Korobov form. *Preprint 159, DFG Priority Program 1324*, 2014.
39. J. Keiner, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Fast summation of radial functions on the sphere. *Computing*, 78:1 – 15, 2006.
40. J. Keiner, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Using NFFT3 - a software library for various nonequispaced fast Fourier transforms. *ACM Trans. Math. Software*, 36:Article 19, 1 – 30, 2009.
41. S. Kunis and I. Melzer. A stable and accurate butterfly sparse Fourier transform. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 50:1777 – 1800, 2012.
42. S. Kunis and D. Potts. Stability results for scattered data interpolation by trigonometric polynomials. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 29:1403 – 1419, 2007.
43. S. Kunis and H. Rauhut. Random sampling of sparse trigonometric polynomials II, Orthogonal matching pursuit versus basis pursuit. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 8:737 – 763, 2008.
44. S. Mallat and Z. Zhang. Matching pursuit with time-frequency dictionaries. *IEEE Trans. Sig. Proc.*, 41:3397 – 3415, 1993.
45. H. N. Mhaskar, F. J. Narcowich, and J. D. Ward. Spherical Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities and positive quadrature. *Math. Comput.*, 70:1113 – 1130, 2001. Corrigendum on the positivity of the quadrature weights in 71:453 – 454, 2002.
46. E. Michielssen and A. Boag. A multilevel matrix decomposition algorithm for analyzing scattering from large structures. *IEEE Trans. Antennas and Propagation*, 44:1086 – 1093, 1996.
47. D. Needell and R. Vershynin. Uniform uncertainty principle and signal recovery via regularized orthogonal matching pursuit. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 9:317 – 334, 2009.
48. E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. *Tractability of Multivariate Problems Volume II: Standard Information for Functionals*. Eur. Math. Society, EMS Tracts in Mathematics Vol 12, 2010.
49. M. O’Neil, F. Woolfe, and V. Rokhlin. An algorithm for the rapid evaluation of special function transforms. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 28:203 – 226, 2010.
50. T. Peter and G. Plonka. A generalized prony method for reconstruction of sparse sums of eigenfunctions of linear operators. *Inverse Problems*, 29:025001, 2013.
51. T. Peter, D. Potts, and M. Tasche. Nonlinear approximation by sums of exponentials and translates. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 33:314 – 334, 2011.
52. D. Potts, G. Steidl, and M. Tasche. Fast Fourier transforms for nonequispaced data: A tutorial. In J. J. Benedetto and P. J. S. G. Ferreira, editors, *Modern Sampling Theory: Mathematics and Applications*, pages 247 – 270, Boston, MA, USA, 2001. Birkhäuser.
53. D. Potts and M. Tasche. Parameter estimation for exponential sums by approximate Prony method. *Signal Process.*, 90:1631 – 1642, 2010.

54. D. Potts and M. Tasche. Parameter estimation for nonincreasing exponential sums by Prony-like methods. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439:1024 – 1039, 2013.
55. H. Rauhut. Random sampling of sparse trigonometric polynomials. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 22:16 – 42, 2007.
56. H. Rauhut. On the impossibility of uniform sparse reconstruction using greedy methods. *Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process.*, 7:197 – 215, 2008.
57. H. Rauhut. Stability results for random sampling of sparse trigonometric polynomials. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 54:5661 – 5670, 2008.
58. W. Sickel and T. Ullrich. The Smolyak algorithm, sampling on sparse grids and function spaces of dominating mixed smoothness. *East J. Approx.*, 13:387 – 425, 2007.
59. I. H. Sloan and S. Joe. *Lattice methods for multiple integration*. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1994.
60. G. Steidl. A note on fast Fourier transforms for nonequispaced grids. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 9:337 – 353, 1998.
61. V. N. Temlyakov. Approximation of functions with bounded mixed derivative. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 1989. A translation of Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov 178 (1986).
62. M. Tygert. Fast algorithms for spherical harmonic expansions, III. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 229:6181 – 6192, 2010.
63. L. Ying. Sparse Fourier transform via butterfly algorithm. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 31:1678 – 1694, 2009.
64. L. Ying, G. Biros, and D. Zorin. A kernel-independent adaptive fast multipole method in two and three dimensions. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 196:591 – 626, 2004.
65. L. Ying and S. Fomel. Fast computation of partial Fourier transforms. *Multiscale Model. Simul.*, 8:110 – 124, 2009.
66. H. Yserentant. *Regularity and approximability of electronic wave functions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

Index

- approximate trigonometric reconstruction,
 - 2
- butterfly graph, 5
- butterfly scheme, 5
 - error estimate, 6
- fast Fourier algorithms
 - FFT, 3
 - HCFFT, 3
 - NFFT, 3
- fast Fourier transform (FFT), 3
 - generated set, 4
 - inverse, 7
 - rank-1 lattice, 4
- Fourier coefficients, 1
- Fourier matrix, 2
 - equispaced, 7
 - nonequispaced, 8
 - Vandermonde structure, 12
- frequency index set, 1
- l_p ball, 10
- difference set, 9
- full grid, 2
- hyperbolic cross, 3, 11
 - energy-norm based, 4, 11
- hyperbolic cross Fourier transform, 3
 - condition number, 8
 - fast (HCFFT), 3
 - inverse, 8
- multivariate trigonometric polynomial, 1
 - evaluation, 1, 2
 - reconstruction, 2, 6, 7, 9
- nonequispaced fast Fourier transform (NFFT), 3
- Prony method, 14
- random sampling, 13
- reconstructing generated set, 11
- reconstructing rank-1 lattice, 9
 - component-by-component construction, 9, 10
- sampling set, 1
 - generated set, 4
 - random nodes, 13
 - rank-1 lattice, 4
 - sparse grid, 3
- sparse trigonometric recovery, 7, 14
 - condition number, 13

Preprint Series DFG-SPP 1324

<http://www.dfg-spp1324.de>

Reports

- [1] R. Ramlau, G. Teschke, and M. Zhariy. A Compressive Landweber Iteration for Solving Ill-Posed Inverse Problems. Preprint 1, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2008.
- [2] G. Plonka. The Easy Path Wavelet Transform: A New Adaptive Wavelet Transform for Sparse Representation of Two-dimensional Data. Preprint 2, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2008.
- [3] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. Optimal Order of Convergence and (In-) Tractability of Multivariate Approximation of Smooth Functions. Preprint 3, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2008.
- [4] M. Espig, L. Grasedyck, and W. Hackbusch. Black Box Low Tensor Rank Approximation Using Fibre-Crosses. Preprint 4, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2008.
- [5] T. Bonesky, S. Dahlke, P. Maass, and T. Raasch. Adaptive Wavelet Methods and Sparsity Reconstruction for Inverse Heat Conduction Problems. Preprint 5, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2009.
- [6] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. Approximation of Infinitely Differentiable Multivariate Functions Is Intractable. Preprint 6, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2009.
- [7] J. Ma and G. Plonka. A Review of Curvelets and Recent Applications. Preprint 7, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2009.
- [8] L. Denis, D. A. Lorenz, and D. Tiede. Greedy Solution of Ill-Posed Problems: Error Bounds and Exact Inversion. Preprint 8, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [9] U. Friedrich. A Two Parameter Generalization of Lions' Nonoverlapping Domain Decomposition Method for Linear Elliptic PDEs. Preprint 9, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [10] K. Bredies and D. A. Lorenz. Minimization of Non-smooth, Non-convex Functionals by Iterative Thresholding. Preprint 10, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [11] K. Bredies and D. A. Lorenz. Regularization with Non-convex Separable Constraints. Preprint 11, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.

- [12] M. Döhler, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Nonequispaced Hyperbolic Cross Fast Fourier Transform. Preprint 12, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [13] C. Bender. Dual Pricing of Multi-Exercise Options under Volume Constraints. Preprint 13, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.
- [14] T. Müller-Gronbach and K. Ritter. Variable Subspace Sampling and Multi-level Algorithms. Preprint 14, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2009.
- [15] G. Plonka, S. Tenorth, and A. Iske. Optimally Sparse Image Representation by the Easy Path Wavelet Transform. Preprint 15, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2009.
- [16] S. Dahlke, E. Novak, and W. Sickel. Optimal Approximation of Elliptic Problems by Linear and Nonlinear Mappings IV: Errors in L_2 and Other Norms. Preprint 16, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2009.
- [17] B. Jin, T. Khan, P. Maass, and M. Pidcock. Function Spaces and Optimal Currents in Impedance Tomography. Preprint 17, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2009.
- [18] G. Plonka and J. Ma. Curvelet-Wavelet Regularized Split Bregman Iteration for Compressed Sensing. Preprint 18, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2009.
- [19] G. Teschke and C. Borries. Accelerated Projected Steepest Descent Method for Nonlinear Inverse Problems with Sparsity Constraints. Preprint 19, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2009.
- [20] L. Grasedyck. Hierarchical Singular Value Decomposition of Tensors. Preprint 20, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2009.
- [21] D. Rudolf. Error Bounds for Computing the Expectation by Markov Chain Monte Carlo. Preprint 21, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2009.
- [22] M. Hansen and W. Sickel. Best m-term Approximation and Lizorkin-Triebel Spaces. Preprint 22, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.
- [23] F.J. Hickernell, T. Müller-Gronbach, B. Niu, and K. Ritter. Multi-level Monte Carlo Algorithms for Infinite-dimensional Integration on \mathbb{R}^N . Preprint 23, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.
- [24] S. Dereich and F. Heidenreich. A Multilevel Monte Carlo Algorithm for Lévy Driven Stochastic Differential Equations. Preprint 24, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.
- [25] S. Dahlke, M. Fornasier, and T. Raasch. Multilevel Preconditioning for Adaptive Sparse Optimization. Preprint 25, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.

- [26] S. Dereich. Multilevel Monte Carlo Algorithms for Lévy-driven SDEs with Gaussian Correction. Preprint 26, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.
- [27] G. Plonka, S. Tenorth, and D. Roşca. A New Hybrid Method for Image Approximation using the Easy Path Wavelet Transform. Preprint 27, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2009.
- [28] O. Koch and C. Lubich. Dynamical Low-rank Approximation of Tensors. Preprint 28, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [29] E. Faou, V. Gradinaru, and C. Lubich. Computing Semi-classical Quantum Dynamics with Hagedorn Wavepackets. Preprint 29, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [30] D. Conte and C. Lubich. An Error Analysis of the Multi-configuration Time-dependent Hartree Method of Quantum Dynamics. Preprint 30, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [31] C. E. Powell and E. Ullmann. Preconditioning Stochastic Galerkin Saddle Point Problems. Preprint 31, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [32] O. G. Ernst and E. Ullmann. Stochastic Galerkin Matrices. Preprint 32, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [33] F. Lindner and R. L. Schilling. Weak Order for the Discretization of the Stochastic Heat Equation Driven by Impulsive Noise. Preprint 33, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.
- [34] L. Kämmerer and S. Kunis. On the Stability of the Hyperbolic Cross Discrete Fourier Transform. Preprint 34, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2009.
- [35] P. Cerejeiras, M. Ferreira, U. Kähler, and G. Teschke. Inversion of the noisy Radon transform on $SO(3)$ by Gabor frames and sparse recovery principles. Preprint 35, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2010.
- [36] T. Jahnke and T. Udrescu. Solving Chemical Master Equations by Adaptive Wavelet Compression. Preprint 36, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2010.
- [37] P. Kittipoom, G. Kutyniok, and W.-Q Lim. Irregular Shearlet Frames: Geometry and Approximation Properties. Preprint 37, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2010.
- [38] G. Kutyniok and W.-Q Lim. Compactly Supported Shearlets are Optimally Sparse. Preprint 38, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2010.

- [39] M. Hansen and W. Sickel. Best m -Term Approximation and Tensor Products of Sobolev and Besov Spaces – the Case of Non-compact Embeddings. Preprint 39, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2010.
- [40] B. Niu, F.J. Hickernell, T. Müller-Gronbach, and K. Ritter. Deterministic Multi-level Algorithms for Infinite-dimensional Integration on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Preprint 40, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2010.
- [41] P. Kittipoom, G. Kutyniok, and W.-Q Lim. Construction of Compactly Supported Shearlet Frames. Preprint 41, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2010.
- [42] C. Bender and J. Steiner. Error Criteria for Numerical Solutions of Backward SDEs. Preprint 42, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2010.
- [43] L. Grasedyck. Polynomial Approximation in Hierarchical Tucker Format by Vector-Tensorization. Preprint 43, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2010.
- [44] M. Hansen und W. Sickel. Best m -Term Approximation and Sobolev-Besov Spaces of Dominating Mixed Smoothness - the Case of Compact Embeddings. Preprint 44, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2010.
- [45] P. Binev, W. Dahmen, and P. Lamby. Fast High-Dimensional Approximation with Sparse Occupancy Trees. Preprint 45, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2010.
- [46] J. Ballani and L. Grasedyck. A Projection Method to Solve Linear Systems in Tensor Format. Preprint 46, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2010.
- [47] P. Binev, A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, G. Petrova, and P. Wojtaszczyk. Convergence Rates for Greedy Algorithms in Reduced Basis Methods. Preprint 47, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2010.
- [48] S. Kestler and K. Urban. Adaptive Wavelet Methods on Unbounded Domains. Preprint 48, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.
- [49] H. Yserentant. The Mixed Regularity of Electronic Wave Functions Multiplied by Explicit Correlation Factors. Preprint 49, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.
- [50] H. Yserentant. On the Complexity of the Electronic Schrödinger Equation. Preprint 50, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.
- [51] M. Guillemard and A. Iske. Curvature Analysis of Frequency Modulated Manifolds in Dimensionality Reduction. Preprint 51, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.
- [52] E. Herrholz and G. Teschke. Compressive Sensing Principles and Iterative Sparse Recovery for Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems. Preprint 52, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.

- [53] L. Kämmerer, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Interpolation Lattices for Hyperbolic Cross Trigonometric Polynomials. Preprint 53, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [54] G. Kutyniok and W.-Q Lim. Shearlets on Bounded Domains. Preprint 54, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [55] A. Zeiser. Wavelet Approximation in Weighted Sobolev Spaces of Mixed Order with Applications to the Electronic Schrödinger Equation. Preprint 55, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [56] G. Kutyniok, J. Lemvig, and W.-Q Lim. Compactly Supported Shearlets. Preprint 56, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [57] A. Zeiser. On the Optimality of the Inexact Inverse Iteration Coupled with Adaptive Finite Element Methods. Preprint 57, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.
- [58] S. Jokar. Sparse Recovery and Kronecker Products. Preprint 58, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2010.
- [59] T. Aboiyar, E. H. Georgoulis, and A. Iske. Adaptive ADER Methods Using Kernel-Based Polyharmonic Spline WENO Reconstruction. Preprint 59, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2010.
- [60] O. G. Ernst, A. Mugler, H.-J. Starkloff, and E. Ullmann. On the Convergence of Generalized Polynomial Chaos Expansions. Preprint 60, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2010.
- [61] S. Holtz, T. Rohwedder, and R. Schneider. On Manifolds of Tensors of Fixed TT-Rank. Preprint 61, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2010.
- [62] J. Ballani, L. Grasedyck, and M. Kluge. Black Box Approximation of Tensors in Hierarchical Tucker Format. Preprint 62, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2010.
- [63] M. Hansen. On Tensor Products of Quasi-Banach Spaces. Preprint 63, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2010.
- [64] S. Dahlke, G. Steidl, and G. Teschke. Shearlet Coorbit Spaces: Compactly Supported Analyzing Shearlets, Traces and Embeddings. Preprint 64, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2010.
- [65] W. Hackbusch. Tensorisation of Vectors and their Efficient Convolution. Preprint 65, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.
- [66] P. A. Cioica, S. Dahlke, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R. L. Schilling. Spatial Besov Regularity for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations on Lipschitz Domains. Preprint 66, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.

- [67] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. On the Power of Function Values for the Approximation Problem in Various Settings. Preprint 67, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.
- [68] A. Hinrichs, E. Novak, and H. Woźniakowski. The Curse of Dimensionality for Monotone and Convex Functions of Many Variables. Preprint 68, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.
- [69] G. Kutyniok and W.-Q. Lim. Image Separation Using Shearlets. Preprint 69, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.
- [70] B. Jin and P. Maass. An Analysis of Electrical Impedance Tomography with Applications to Tikhonov Regularization. Preprint 70, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [71] S. Holtz, T. Rohwedder, and R. Schneider. The Alternating Linear Scheme for Tensor Optimisation in the TT Format. Preprint 71, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [72] T. Müller-Gronbach and K. Ritter. A Local Refinement Strategy for Constructive Quantization of Scalar SDEs. Preprint 72, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [73] T. Rohwedder and R. Schneider. An Analysis for the DIIS Acceleration Method used in Quantum Chemistry Calculations. Preprint 73, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [74] C. Bender and J. Steiner. Least-Squares Monte Carlo for Backward SDEs. Preprint 74, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [75] C. Bender. Primal and Dual Pricing of Multiple Exercise Options in Continuous Time. Preprint 75, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [76] H. Harbrecht, M. Peters, and R. Schneider. On the Low-rank Approximation by the Pivoted Cholesky Decomposition. Preprint 76, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.
- [77] P. A. Cioica, S. Dahlke, N. Döhring, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R. L. Schilling. Adaptive Wavelet Methods for Elliptic Stochastic Partial Differential Equations. Preprint 77, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [78] G. Plonka, S. Tenorth, and A. Iske. Optimal Representation of Piecewise Hölder Smooth Bivariate Functions by the Easy Path Wavelet Transform. Preprint 78, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

- [79] A. Mugler and H.-J. Starkloff. On Elliptic Partial Differential Equations with Random Coefficients. Preprint 79, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [80] T. Müller-Gronbach, K. Ritter, and L. Yaroslavtseva. A Derandomization of the Euler Scheme for Scalar Stochastic Differential Equations. Preprint 80, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [81] W. Dahmen, C. Huang, C. Schwab, and G. Welper. Adaptive Petrov-Galerkin methods for first order transport equations. Preprint 81, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [82] K. Grella and C. Schwab. Sparse Tensor Spherical Harmonics Approximation in Radiative Transfer. Preprint 82, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [83] D.A. Lorenz, S. Schiffler, and D. Tiede. Beyond Convergence Rates: Exact Inversion With Tikhonov Regularization With Sparsity Constraints. Preprint 83, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [84] S. Dereich, M. Scheutzow, and R. Schottstedt. Constructive quantization: Approximation by empirical measures. Preprint 84, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [85] S. Dahlke and W. Sickel. On Besov Regularity of Solutions to Nonlinear Elliptic Partial Differential Equations. Preprint 85, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [86] S. Dahlke, U. Friedrich, P. Maass, T. Raasch, and R.A. Ressel. An adaptive wavelet method for parameter identification problems in parabolic partial differential equations. Preprint 86, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [87] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, and G. Welper. Adaptivity and Variational Stabilization for Convection-Diffusion Equations. Preprint 87, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [88] T. Jahnke. On Reduced Models for the Chemical Master Equation. Preprint 88, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.
- [89] P. Binev, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, P. Lamby, D. Savu, and R. Sharpley. Compressed Sensing and Electron Microscopy. Preprint 89, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2011.
- [90] P. Binev, F. Blanco-Silva, D. Blom, W. Dahmen, P. Lamby, R. Sharpley, and T. Vogt. High Quality Image Formation by Nonlocal Means Applied to High-Angle Annular Dark Field Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (HAADF-STEM). Preprint 90, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2011.
- [91] R. A. Ressel. A Parameter Identification Problem for a Nonlinear Parabolic Differential Equation. Preprint 91, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2011.

- [92] G. Kutyniok. Data Separation by Sparse Representations. Preprint 92, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2011.
- [93] M. A. Davenport, M. F. Duarte, Y. C. Eldar, and G. Kutyniok. Introduction to Compressed Sensing. Preprint 93, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2011.
- [94] H.-C. Kreuzler and H. Yserentant. The Mixed Regularity of Electronic Wave Functions in Fractional Order and Weighted Sobolev Spaces. Preprint 94, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [95] E. Ullmann, H. C. Elman, and O. G. Ernst. Efficient Iterative Solvers for Stochastic Galerkin Discretizations of Log-Transformed Random Diffusion Problems. Preprint 95, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [96] S. Kunis and I. Melzer. On the Butterfly Sparse Fourier Transform. Preprint 96, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [97] T. Rohwedder. The Continuous Coupled Cluster Formulation for the Electronic Schrödinger Equation. Preprint 97, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [98] T. Rohwedder and R. Schneider. Error Estimates for the Coupled Cluster Method. Preprint 98, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.
- [99] P. A. Cioica and S. Dahlke. Spatial Besov Regularity for Semilinear Stochastic Partial Differential Equations on Bounded Lipschitz Domains. Preprint 99, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2011.
- [100] L. Grasedyck and W. Hackbusch. An Introduction to Hierarchical (H-) Rank and TT-Rank of Tensors with Examples. Preprint 100, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2011.
- [101] N. Chegini, S. Dahlke, U. Friedrich, and R. Stevenson. Piecewise Tensor Product Wavelet Bases by Extensions and Approximation Rates. Preprint 101, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [102] S. Dahlke, P. Oswald, and T. Raasch. A Note on Quarkonial Systems and Multi-level Partition of Unity Methods. Preprint 102, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [103] A. Uschmajew. Local Convergence of the Alternating Least Squares Algorithm For Canonical Tensor Approximation. Preprint 103, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [104] S. Kvaal. Multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree method for describing particle loss due to absorbing boundary conditions. Preprint 104, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.

- [105] M. Guillemard and A. Iske. On Groupoid C^* -Algebras, Persistent Homology and Time-Frequency Analysis. Preprint 105, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [106] A. Hinrichs, E. Novak, and H. Woźniakowski. Discontinuous information in the worst case and randomized settings. Preprint 106, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [107] M. Espig, W. Hackbusch, A. Litvinenko, H. Matthies, and E. Zander. Efficient Analysis of High Dimensional Data in Tensor Formats. Preprint 107, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.
- [108] M. Espig, W. Hackbusch, S. Handschuh, and R. Schneider. Optimization Problems in Contracted Tensor Networks. Preprint 108, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2011.
- [109] S. Dereich, T. Müller-Gronbach, and K. Ritter. On the Complexity of Computing Quadrature Formulas for SDEs. Preprint 109, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2011.
- [110] D. Belomestny. Solving optimal stopping problems by empirical dual optimization and penalization. Preprint 110, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2011.
- [111] D. Belomestny and J. Schoenmakers. Multilevel dual approach for pricing American style derivatives. Preprint 111, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2011.
- [112] T. Rohwedder and A. Uschmajew. Local convergence of alternating schemes for optimization of convex problems in the TT format. Preprint 112, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2011.
- [113] T. Görner, R. Hielscher, and S. Kunis. Efficient and accurate computation of spherical mean values at scattered center points. Preprint 113, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2011.
- [114] Y. Dong, T. Görner, and S. Kunis. An iterative reconstruction scheme for photoacoustic imaging. Preprint 114, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2011.
- [115] L. Kämmerer. Reconstructing hyperbolic cross trigonometric polynomials by sampling along generated sets. Preprint 115, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.
- [116] H. Chen and R. Schneider. Numerical analysis of augmented plane waves methods for full-potential electronic structure calculations. Preprint 116, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.
- [117] J. Ma, G. Plonka, and M.Y. Hussaini. Compressive Video Sampling with Approximate Message Passing Decoding. Preprint 117, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.

- [118] D. Heinen and G. Plonka. Wavelet shrinkage on paths for scattered data denoising. Preprint 118, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.
- [119] T. Jahnke and M. Kreim. Error bound for piecewise deterministic processes modeling stochastic reaction systems. Preprint 119, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2012.
- [120] C. Bender and J. Steiner. A-posteriori estimates for backward SDEs. Preprint 120, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2012.
- [121] M. Espig, W. Hackbusch, A. Litvinenko, H.G. Matthies, and P. Wöhnert. Efficient low-rank approximation of the stochastic Galerkin matrix in tensor formats. Preprint 121, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2012.
- [122] O. Bokanowski, J. Garcke, M. Griebel, and I. Klompaker. An adaptive sparse grid semi-Lagrangian scheme for first order Hamilton-Jacobi Bellman equations. Preprint 122, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2012.
- [123] A. Mugler and H.-J. Starkloff. On the convergence of the stochastic Galerkin method for random elliptic partial differential equations. Preprint 123, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2012.
- [124] P.A. Cioica, S. Dahlke, N. Döhring, U. Friedrich, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R.L. Schilling. On the convergence analysis of Rothe's method. Preprint 124, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2012.
- [125] P. Binev, A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, and R. DeVore. Classification Algorithms using Adaptive Partitioning. Preprint 125, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2012.
- [126] C. Lubich, T. Rohwedder, R. Schneider, and B. Vandereycken. Dynamical approximation of hierarchical Tucker and Tensor-Train tensors. Preprint 126, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2012.
- [127] M. Kovács, S. Larsson, and K. Urban. On Wavelet-Galerkin methods for semilinear parabolic equations with additive noise. Preprint 127, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2012.
- [128] M. Bachmayr, H. Chen, and R. Schneider. Numerical analysis of Gaussian approximations in quantum chemistry. Preprint 128, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2012.
- [129] D. Rudolf. Explicit error bounds for Markov chain Monte Carlo. Preprint 129, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2012.
- [130] P.A. Cioica, K.-H. Kim, K. Lee, and F. Lindner. On the $L_q(L_p)$ -regularity and Besov smoothness of stochastic parabolic equations on bounded Lipschitz domains. Preprint 130, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2012.

- [131] M. Hansen. n -term Approximation Rates and Besov Regularity for Elliptic PDEs on Polyhedral Domains. Preprint 131, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2012.
- [132] R. E. Bank and H. Yserentant. On the H^1 -stability of the L_2 -projection onto finite element spaces. Preprint 132, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2012.
- [133] M. Gnewuch, S. Mayer, and K. Ritter. On Weighted Hilbert Spaces and Integration of Functions of Infinitely Many Variables. Preprint 133, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2012.
- [134] D. Crisan, J. Diehl, P.K. Friz, and H. Oberhauser. Robust Filtering: Correlated Noise and Multidimensional Observation. Preprint 134, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2013.
- [135] Wolfgang Dahmen, Christian Plesken, and Gerrit Welper. Double Greedy Algorithms: Reduced Basis Methods for Transport Dominated Problems. Preprint 135, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2013.
- [136] Aicke Hinrichs, Erich Novak, Mario Ullrich, and Henryk Wozniakowski. The Curse of Dimensionality for Numerical Integration of Smooth Functions. Preprint 136, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2013.
- [137] Markus Bachmayr, Wolfgang Dahmen, Ronald DeVore, and Lars Grasedyck. Approximation of High-Dimensional Rank One Tensors. Preprint 137, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2013.
- [138] Markus Bachmayr and Wolfgang Dahmen. Adaptive Near-Optimal Rank Tensor Approximation for High-Dimensional Operator Equations. Preprint 138, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2013.
- [139] Felix Lindner. Singular Behavior of the Solution to the Stochastic Heat Equation on a Polygonal Domain. Preprint 139, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2013.
- [140] Stephan Dahlke, Dominik Lellek, Shiu Hong Lui, and Rob Stevenson. Adaptive Wavelet Schwarz Methods for the Navier-Stokes Equation. Preprint 140, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2013.
- [141] Jonas Ballani and Lars Grasedyck. Tree Adaptive Approximation in the Hierarchical Tensor Format. Preprint 141, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2013.
- [142] Harry Yserentant. A short theory of the Rayleigh-Ritz method. Preprint 142, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2013.
- [143] M. Hefter and K. Ritter. On Embeddings of Weighted Tensor Product Hilbert Spaces. Preprint 143, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2013.

- [144] M. Altmayer and A. Neuenkirch. Multilevel Monte Carlo Quadrature of Discontinuous Payoffs in the Generalized Heston Model using Malliavin Integration by Parts. Preprint 144, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2013.
- [145] L. Kämmerer, D. Potts, and T. Volkmer. Approximation of multivariate functions by trigonometric polynomials based on rank-1 lattice sampling. Preprint 145, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2013.
- [146] C. Bender, N. Schweizer, and J. Zhuo. A primal-dual algorithm for BSDEs. Preprint 146, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2013.
- [147] D. Rudolf. Hit-and-run for numerical integration. Preprint 147, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2013.
- [148] D. Rudolf and M. Ullrich. Positivity of hit-and-run and related algorithms. Preprint 148, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2013.
- [149] L. Grasedyck, M. Kluge, and S. Krämer. Alternating Directions Fitting (ADF) of Hierarchical Low Rank Tensors. Preprint 149, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2013.
- [150] F. Filbir, S. Kunis, and R. Seyfried. Effective discretization of direct reconstruction schemes for photoacoustic imaging in spherical geometries. Preprint 150, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.
- [151] E. Novak, M. Ullrich, and H. Woźniakowski. Complexity of Oscillatory Integration for Univariate Sobolev Spaces. Preprint 151, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.
- [152] A. Hinrichs, E. Novak, and M. Ullrich. A Tractability Result for the Clenshaw Curtis Smolyak Algorithm. Preprint 152, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.
- [153] M. Hein, S. Setzer, L. Jost, and S. Rangapuram. The Total Variation on Hypergraphs - Learning on Hypergraphs Revisited. Preprint 153, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.
- [154] M. Kovács, S. Larsson, and F. Lindgren. On the Backward Euler Approximation of the Stochastic Allen-Chan Equation. Preprint 154, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2013.
- [155] S. Dahlke, M. Fornasier, U. Friedrich, and T. Raasch. Multilevel preconditioning for sparse optimization of functionals with nonconvex fidelity terms. Preprint 155, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2013.
- [156] T. Müller-Gronbach, K. Ritter, and L. Yaroslavtseva. On the complexity of computing quadrature formulas for marginal distributions of SDEs. Preprint 156, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2014.

- [157] M. Giles, T. Nagapetyan, and K. Ritter. Multi-Level Monte Carlo Approximation of Distribution Functions and Densities. Preprint 157, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2014.
- [158] F. Dickmann and N. Schweizer. Faster Comparison of Stopping Times by Nested Conditional Monte Carlo . Preprint 158, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2014.
- [159] L. Kämmerer, D. Potts, and T. Volkmer. Approximation of multivariate periodic functions by trigonometric polynomials based on sampling along rank-1 lattice with generating vector of Korobov form . Preprint 159, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2014.
- [160] S. Dereich and T. Müller-Gronbach. Quadrature for Self-Affine Distributions on \mathbb{R}^d . Preprint 160, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2014.
- [161] S. Dereich and S. Li. Multilevel Monte Carlo for Lévy-driven SDEs: central limit theorems for adaptive Euler schemes. Preprint 161, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2014.
- [162] M. Kluge. Sampling Rules for Tensor Reconstruction in Hierarchical Tucker Format. Preprint 162, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2014.
- [163] D. Rudolf and N. Schweizer. Error Bounds of MCMC for Functions with Unbounded Stationary Variance. Preprint 163, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2014.
- [164] E. Novak and D. Rudolf. Tractability of the approximation of high-dimensional rank one tensors. Preprint 164, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2014.
- [165] J. Dick and D. Rudolf. Discrepancy estimates for variance bounding Markov chain quasi-Monte Carlo. Preprint 165, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2014.
- [166] L. Kämmerer, S. Kunis, I. Melzer, D. Potts, and T. Volkmer. Computational Methods for the Fourier Analysis of Sparse High-Dimensional Functions. Preprint 166, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2014.