DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm 1324

„Extraktion quantifizierbarer Information aus komplexen Systemen"

On Wavelet-Galerkin methods for semilinear parabolic equations with additive noise

M. Kovács, S. Larsson, K. Urban

Preprint 127

DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm 1324

„Extraktion quantifizierbarer Information aus komplexen Systemen"

On Wavelet-Galerkin methods for semilinear parabolic equations with additive noise

M. Kovács, S. Larsson, K. Urban

Preprint 127

The consecutive numbering of the publications is determined by their chronological order.

The aim of this preprint series is to make new research rapidly available for scientific discussion. Therefore, the responsibility for the contents is solely due to the authors. The publications will be distributed by the authors.

On Wavelet-Galerkin Methods for Semilinear Parabolic Equations with Additive Noise

Mihály Kovács, Stig Larsson and Karsten Urban

Abstract We consider the semilinear stochastic heat equation perturbed by additive noise. After time-discretization by Euler's method the equation is split into a linear stochastic equation and a non-linear random evolution equation. The linear stochastic equation is discretized in space by a non-adaptive wavelet-Galerkin method. This equation is solved first and its solution is substituted into the nonlinear random evolution equation, which is solved by an adaptive wavelet method. We provide mean square estimates for the overall error.

1 Introduction

We consider the following semilinear parabolic problem with additive noise,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}u - \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u)\,\mathrm{d}t &= f(u)\,\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W, && x \in \mathscr{D},\ t \in (0,T), \\
u &= 0, && x \in \partial\mathscr{D},\ t \in (0,T), \\
u(\cdot,0) &= u_0, && x \in \mathscr{D}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{1}
$$

Here $T > 0$, $\mathscr{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 1,2,3$, is a convex polygonal domain or a domain with smooth boundary $\partial\mathscr{D}$, and $\{W(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is an $L_2(\mathscr{D})$-valued Q-Wiener process on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P}, \{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0})$ with respect to the normal filtration

Mihály Kovács
University of Otago, Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand
e-mail: mkovacs@maths.otago.ac.nz

Stig Larsson
Chalmers University of Technology, Mathematical Sciences, SE–412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden
e-mail: stig@chalmers.se

Karsten Urban
Ulm University, Inst. for Numerical Mathematics, Helmholtzstr. 18, DE–89069 Ulm, Germany
e-mail: karsten.urban@uni-ulm.de

$\{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. We use the notation $H = L_2(\mathscr{D})$, $V = H_0^1(\mathscr{D})$ with $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_H$ and $(\cdot, \cdot) = (\cdot, \cdot)_H$. Moreover, $A\colon V \to V'$ denotes the linear elliptic operator $Au = -\nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla u)$ for $u \in V$ where $\kappa(x) > \kappa_0 > 0$ is smooth. As usual we consider the bilinear form $a\colon V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $a(u, v) = \langle Au, v \rangle$ for $u, v \in V$, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing of V' and V. We denote by e^{-tA} the analytic semigroup in H generated by the realization of $-A$ in H with $D(A) = H^2(\mathscr{D}) \cap H_0^1(\mathscr{D})$. Finally, $f\colon H \to H$ is a nonlinear function, which is assumed to be globally Lipschitz continuous, i.e., there exists a constant L_f such that

$$\|f(u) - f(v)\| \leq L_f \|u - v\|, \quad u, v \in H. \tag{2}$$

In a standard way we introduce the fractional powers A^β, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and the corresponding spaces $\dot{H}^\beta = D(A^\beta)$ with norms $\|v\|_\beta = \|A^\beta v\|$. We also use the spaces $L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\beta)$ with the mean square norms $\|v\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\beta)} = \left(\mathbb{E}[\|v\|_\beta^2]\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We assume for some $\beta \geq 0$ that

$$\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}} < \infty, \quad u_0 \in L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\beta). \tag{3}$$

Here Q is the covariance operator of W and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HS}}$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

It is known [8] that (1) has a unique mild solution, which is defined to be the solution of the fixed point equation

$$u(t) = e^{-tA} u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} f(u(s)) \, ds + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \, dW(s). \tag{4}$$

This naturally splits the solution as $u = v + w$, where w is a stochastic convolution,

$$w(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} \, dW(s), \tag{5}$$

which is the solution of

$$dw + Aw \, dt = dW, \ 0 < t \leq T; \quad w(0) = 0, \tag{6}$$

and v is the solution of the random evolution equation

$$\dot{v} + Av = f(v + w), \ 0 < t \leq T; \quad v(0) = u_0. \tag{7}$$

Our approach will be to first compute w and then to insert it into (7) which we then solve for v. Finally, $u = v + w$. For the numerical solution we use Rothe's method, where we first discretize with respect to time and then discretize the resulting elliptic problems with wavelet methods.

Thus, we fix a time step $\tau > 0$, set $t_n := n\tau$ with $t_N = T$, and consider a backward Euler discretization of (1). With $u^n \approx u(t_n)$ and increments $\Delta W^n = W(t_n) - W(t_{n-1})$ this reads

$$u^n + \tau A u^n = u^{n-1} + \tau f(u^n) + \Delta W^n, \ 1 \leq n \leq N; \quad u^0 = u_0. \tag{8}$$

Then we decompose $u^n = v^n + w^n$ to get time-discrete versions of (6) and (7):

$$w^n + \tau A w^n = w^{n-1} + \Delta W^n, \qquad\qquad 1 \le n \le N; \quad w^0 = 0, \qquad (9a)$$

$$v^n + \tau A v^n = v^{n-1} + \tau f(v^n + w^n), \qquad 1 \le n \le N; \quad v^0 = u_0. \qquad (9b)$$

This allows us to solve the linear problem (9a) first and use the result as an input for the nonlinear problem (9b). Moreover, the stochastic influence in (9b) is smoother than in (9a), which allows us to use fast nonlinear solvers.

We consider now the spatial discretization of (9). To this end, let S_J be a multiresolution space of order m and let $\{w^n_J\}_{n=0}^N \subset S_J$ be the corresponding Galerkin approximation of $\{w^n\}_{n=0}^N$, i.e.,

$$w^n_J + \tau A_J w^n_J = w^{n-1}_J + P_J \Delta W^n, \ 1 \le n \le N; \quad w^0_J = 0. \qquad (10)$$

We refer to Subsect. 4.3 for details. We enter this approximation instead of w^n into (9b). The corresponding equation reads

$$\bar{v}^n + \tau A \bar{v}^n = \bar{v}^{n-1} + \tau f(\bar{v}^n + w^n_J), \ 1 \le n \le N; \quad \bar{v}^0 = u_0. \qquad (11)$$

For each $\omega \in \Omega$ and for each $n \ge 1$ the nonlinear equation in (11) is solved by an adaptive wavelet algorithm to yield an approximate solution v^n_ε with tolerance ε_n:

$$\|v^n_\varepsilon - \bar{v}^n\| \le \varepsilon_n, \quad 0 \le n \le N.$$

Such an algorithm is described in Subsect. 4.2. Note that we use the same tolerance for each ω. The output of the computation will then be the sequence

$$u^n_\varepsilon = v^n_\varepsilon + w^n_J, \quad 0 \le n \le N. \qquad (12)$$

The total error is $u^n_\varepsilon - u(t_n) = (v^n_\varepsilon - \bar{v}^n) + (\bar{v}^n - v^n) + (w^n_J - w^n) + (u^n - u(t_n))$. The contributions are bounded as follows, where the constants C depend on $\|u_0\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\beta)}$, $\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}$, and T, referring to assumption (3).

In Sect. 2, Theorem 2.5, we study the time-discretization error and show

$$\max_{0 \le t_n \le T} \|u^n - u(t_n)\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)} \le C \tau^{\min(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}. \qquad (13)$$

In Sect. 3 we analyze the perturbation of the nonlinear term:

$$\max_{0 \le t_n \le T} \|\bar{v}^n - v^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)} \le C \max_{0 \le t_n \le T} \|w^n_J - w^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)}. \qquad (14)$$

The multiresolution approximation of the time-discrete stochastic convolution is studied in Sect. 5, Theorem 5.3:

$$\max_{0 \le t_n \le T} \|w^n_J - w^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)} \le C 2^{-J \min(\beta, m)}. \qquad (15)$$

Finally, for the adaptive wavelet approximation we have

$$\max_{0 \le t_n \le T} \|v_\varepsilon^n - \bar{v}^n\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)} \le \max_{0 \le t_n \le T} \varepsilon_n.$$

Therefore, our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume* (3) *for some* $\beta \ge 0$. *Let* $\{w_J^n\}_{n=0}^N \subset S_J$ *be computed by a multiresolution Galerkin method of order m and* $\{v_\varepsilon^n\}_{n=0}^N$ *by an adaptive wavelet method with tolerances* ε_n. *Then the total error in* (12) *is bounded by*

$$\max_{0 \le t_n \le T} \|u_\varepsilon^n - u(t_n)\|_{L_2(\Omega, H)} \le C \tau^{\min(\frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + C 2^{-J \min(\beta, m)} + \max_n \varepsilon_n,$$

where $C = C(\|u_0\|_{L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\beta)}, \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}, T)$.

The literature on numerics for nonlinear stochastic parabolic problems is now rather large. We mention, for example, [13] on pure time-discretization and [11, 16] on complete discretization based on the method of lines, where the spatial discretization is first performed by finite elements and the resulting finite-dimensional evolution problem is then discretized. Wavelets have been used in [10] where the spatial approximation (without adaptivity) of stochastic convolutions were considered.

Our present paper is a first attempt towards spatial adaptivity by using Rothe's method together with known adaptive wavelet methods for solving the resulting nonlinear elliptic problems.

The spatial Besov regularity of solutions of stochastic PDEs is investigated in [2, 3]. The comparison of the Sobolev and Besov regularity is indicative of whether adaptivity is advantageous. For problems with additive noise on domains with smooth or convex polygonal boundary, where the solution can be split as $u = v + w$, we expect that the adaptivity is not needed for the stochastic convolution w. We therefore apply it only to the random evolution problem (7). Once the domain is not convex or the boundary is not regular adaptivity might pay off also for the solution of the linear problem (9a).

The recent paper [1] is a first attempt to a more complete error analysis of Rothe's method for both deterministic and stochastic evolution problems. The overlap with our present work is not not too large, since we take advantage of special features of equations with additive noise.

2 Pure time discretization

In the proofs below we will often make use of the following well-known facts about the analytic semigroup e^{-tA}, namely

$$\|A^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-tA}\| \le Ct^{-\alpha}, \quad \alpha \ge 0, \, t > 0, \tag{16}$$

$$\|(\mathrm{e}^{-tA} - I)A^{-\alpha}\| \le Ct^\alpha, \quad 0 \le \alpha \le 1, \, t \ge 0, \tag{17}$$

for some $C = C(\alpha)$, see, for example, [12, Chapter II, Theorem 6.4]. Also, by a simple energy argument we may prove

$$\int_0^t \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-sA} v\|^2 \, ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|^2, \quad v \in H, \, t \geq 0. \tag{18}$$

We quote the following existence, uniqueness and stability result from [9, Lemma 3.1]. For the mild, and other solution concepts we refer to [8, Chapters 6 and 7].

Lemma 2.1. *If $\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}} < \infty$ for some $\beta \geq 0$, $u_0 \in L_2(\Omega, H)$, and (2) holds, then there is a unique mild solution $\{u(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of (1) with $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}\|u(t)\|^2 \leq K$, where $K = K(u_0, T, L_f)$.*

Concerning the temporal regularity of the stochastic convolution we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\|A^{-\eta} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}} < \infty$ for some $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then the stochastic convolution $w(t) := \int_0^t e^{-(t-\sigma)A} \, dW(\sigma)$ is mean square Hölder continuous on $[0, \infty)$ with Hölder constant $C = C(\eta)$ and Hölder exponent $\frac{1}{2} - \eta$, i.e.,*

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\|w(t) - w(s)\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C|t - s|^{\frac{1}{2} - \eta}, \quad t, s \geq 0.$$

Proof. For $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$ the result follows from Lemma 2.1. Let $\eta \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and, without loss of generality, let $s < t$. By independence of the increments of W,

$$\mathbb{E}\|w(t) - w(s)\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_s^t e^{-(t-\sigma)A} \, dW(\sigma)\right\|^2$$
$$+ \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^s e^{-(t-\sigma)A} - e^{-(s-\sigma)A} \, dW(\sigma)\right\|^2 = I_1 + I_2.$$

From Itô's isometry and (16) it follows that

$$I_1 = \mathbb{E}\left\|\int_s^t A^\eta e^{-(t-\sigma)A} A^{-\eta} \, dW(\sigma)\right\|^2 = \int_s^t \|A^\eta e^{-(t-\sigma)A} A^{-\eta} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \, d\sigma$$
$$\leq C \int_s^t (t-\sigma)^{-2\eta} \|A^{-\eta} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \, d\sigma \leq \frac{C}{1 - 2\eta} (t-s)^{1-2\eta} \|A^{-\eta} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2.$$

Finally, let $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of H. Then, by (17) and (18),

$$I_2 = \int_0^s \|(e^{-(t-\sigma)A} - e^{-(s-\sigma)A})Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \, d\sigma$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^s \|(e^{-(t-s)A} - I)A^{-(\frac{1}{2} - \eta)} A^{\frac{1}{2} - \eta} e^{-(s-\sigma)A} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} e_k\|^2 \, d\sigma$$
$$\leq C(t-s)^{1-2\eta} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_0^s \|A^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-(s-\sigma)A} A^{-\eta} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} e_k\|^2 \, d\sigma \tag{19}$$
$$\leq C(t-s)^{1-2\eta} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|A^{-\eta} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} e_k\| = C(t-s)^{1-2\eta} \|A^{-\eta} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2.$$

The next result shows that the time regularity of w transfers to the solution of the semilinear problem.

Theorem 2.3. *If $u_0 \in L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\beta)$ and $\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}} < \infty$ for some $0 \leq \beta < 1$, then there is $C = C(T, u_0, \beta)$ such that the mild solution u of (1) satisfies*

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\|u(t) - u(s)\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C|t - s|^{\frac{\beta}{2}}, \quad t, s \in [0, T].$$

Proof. Let $T > 0$ and $0 \leq s < t \leq T$. Then, by (4),

$$u(t) - u(s) = (e^{-tA} - e^{-sA})u_0 + \int_s^t e^{-(t-r)A} f(u(r))\, dr$$
$$+ \int_0^s (e^{-(t-r)A} - e^{-(s-r)A}) f(u(r))\, dr + w(t) - w(s).$$

In a standard way, for $0 \leq \beta \leq 2$, we have $\mathbb{E}\|(e^{-tA} - e^{-sA})u_0\|^2 \leq C|t - s|^\beta \mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_\beta^2$. Using that f is Lipschitz and hence $\|f(u)\| \leq C(1 + \|u\|)$, the norm boundedness of the semigroup e^{-tA}, and Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_s^t e^{-(t-r)A} f(u(r))\, dr\right\|^2 \leq C|t - s|^2 \left(1 + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}\|u(r)\|^2\right) \leq C|t - s|^2.$$

For $0 \leq \beta < 1$, by Lemma 2.1, (16) and (17), it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\|\int_0^s (e^{-(t-r)A} - e^{-(s-r)A}) f(u(r))\, dr\right\|^2$$
$$\leq s\mathbb{E}\int_0^s \|(e^{-(t-r)A} - e^{-(s-r)A}) f(u(r))\|^2\, dr$$
$$\leq Cs\left(1 + \sup_{r \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}\|u(r)\|^2\right) \int_0^s \|e^{-(t-r)A} - e^{-(s-r)A}\|^2\, dr$$
$$\leq Cs\int_0^s \|A^{\frac{\beta}{2}} e^{-(s-r)A} (e^{-(t-s)A} - I) A^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}\|^2\, dr \leq C|t - s|^\beta s^{2-\beta} \leq C|t - s|^\beta.$$

Finally, by Theorem 2.2 with $\eta = -\frac{\beta-1}{2}$, we have $\mathbb{E}\|w(t) - w(s)\|^2 \leq C|t - s|^\beta$, which finishes the proof.

In order to analyze the order of the backward Euler time-stepping (8) we quote the following deterministic error estimates, where $r(\tau A) = (I + \tau A)^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.4. *The following error estimates hold for $t_n = n\tau > 0$.*

$$\|[e^{-n\tau A} - r^n(\tau A)]v\| \leq C\tau^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \|v\|_\beta, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 2, \tag{20}$$

$$\|[e^{-n\tau A} - r^n(\tau A)]v\| \leq C\tau t_n^{-1} \|v\|, \tag{21}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \tau \left\|[r^k(\tau A) - e^{-k\tau A}]v\right\|^2 \leq C\tau^\beta \|v\|_{\beta-1}^2, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 2. \tag{22}$$

Proof. Estimates (20) and (21) are shown in, for example, [14, Chapter 7]. Estimate (22) can be proved in a similar way as (2.17) in [16, Lemma 2.8].

Theorem 2.5. *If $u_0 \in L_2(\Omega, \dot{H}^\beta)$ and $\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}} < \infty$ for some $0 \le \beta < 1$, then there is $C = C(T, u_0, \beta)$ such that for $0 < \tau < \frac{2}{L_f}$, the solutions u of (4) and u^n of (8) satisfy*

$$(\mathbb{E}\|u(t_n) - u^n\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\tau^{\beta/2}, \quad t_n = n\tau \in [0, T].$$

Proof. We have, with $e^n := u(t_n) - u^n$,

$$e^n = [\mathrm{e}^{-t_n A} - r^n(\tau A)]u_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} [\mathrm{e}^{-(t_n-s)A} - r^{n-k+1}(\tau A)] \, \mathrm{d}W(s)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \mathrm{e}^{-(t_n-s)A} f(u(s)) - r^{n-k+1}(\tau A) f(u_k) \, \mathrm{d}s = e_1 + e_2 + e_3.$$

The error e_1 is easily bounded, using (20), as

$$\mathbb{E}\|e_1\|^2 \le C\tau^\beta \mathbb{E}\|u_0\|_\beta^2, \quad 0 \le \beta \le 2.$$

The contribution of e_2 is the linear stochastic error. First, we decompose e_2 as

$$e_2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} [\mathrm{e}^{-t_{n-k+1}A} - r^{n-k+1}(\tau A)] \, \mathrm{d}W(s)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} [\mathrm{e}^{-(t_n-s)A} - \mathrm{e}^{-t_{n-k+1}A}] \, \mathrm{d}W(s) = e_{21} + e_{22}.$$

Let $\{f_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$ be an ONB of H. By Itô's isometry, the independence of the increments of W and (22),

$$\mathbb{E}\|e_{21}\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n \tau \|[r^k(\tau A) - \mathrm{e}^{-k\tau A}]Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \le = \sum_{l=1}^\infty \sum_{k=1}^n \tau \|[r^k(\tau A) - \mathrm{e}^{-k\tau A}]Q^{\frac{1}{2}} f_l\|^2$$

$$\le C \sum_{l=1}^\infty \tau^\beta \|Q^{\frac{1}{2}} f_l\|_{\beta-1}^2 = C\tau^\beta \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2, \quad 0 \le \beta \le 2.$$

The term e_{22} can be bounded using a similar argument as in (19) by

$$\mathbb{E}\|e_{22}\|^2 \le C\tau^\beta \|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2, \quad 0 \le \beta \le 2.$$

Next, we can further decompose e_3 as

$$e_3 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} r^{n-k+1}(\tau A)[f(u(t_k)) - f(u_k)]\,\mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} [\mathrm{e}^{-t_{n-k+1}A} - r^{n-k+1}(\tau A)]f(u(t_k))\,\mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \mathrm{e}^{-t_{n-k+1}A}[f(u(s)) - f(u(t_k))]\,\mathrm{d}s$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} [\mathrm{e}^{-(t_n-s)A} - \mathrm{e}^{-t_{n-k+1}A}]f(u(s))\,\mathrm{d}s = e_{31} + e_{32} + e_{33} + e_{34}.$$

By the stability of $r^n(\tau A)$ and the Lipschitz condition on f, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|e_{31}\|^2 \le 2L_f^2\tau^2\mathbb{E}\|e^n\|^2 + 2L_f^2\tau^2 n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\|e^k\|^2 \le 2L_f^2\tau^2\mathbb{E}\|e^n\|^2 + C\tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\|e^k\|^2.$$

By (21) and Lemma 2.1, with $\tau t_{n-k+1}^{-1} = (n-k+1)^{-1} = l^{-1}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\|e_{32}\|^2 \le C\mathbb{E}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau\tau t_{n-k+1}^{-1}\|f(u(t_k))\|\Big)^2 \le C\tau^2 \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{1}{l^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\|f(u(t_k))\|^2$$

$$\le C\tau^2 \sum_{k=1}^{n}(1 + \mathbb{E}\|u(t_k)\|^2) \le C\tau t_n \le C\tau.$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 2.3,

$$\mathbb{E}\|e_{33}\|^2 \le t_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \mathbb{E}\|f(u(s)) - f(u(t_k))\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s \le Ct_n^2\tau^\beta \le C\tau^\beta,\ 0 \le \beta < 1.$$

To estimate e_{34} we have, using again that $t_{n-k+1} = t_n - t_{k-1}$ and Lemma 2.1,

$$\mathbb{E}\|e_{34}\|^2 = \mathbb{E}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \|[A^{\frac{\beta}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{-(t_n-s)A}(I - \mathrm{e}^{-(s-t_{k-1})A})]A^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}f(u(s))\|\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)^2$$

$$\le Ct_n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} (t_n-s)^{-\beta}\tau^\beta \mathbb{E}\|f(u(s))\|^2\,\mathrm{d}s \le C\tau^\beta,\quad 0 \le \beta < 1.$$

Putting the pieces together, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|e^n\|^2 \le C\tau^\beta + 2L_f^2\tau^2\mathbb{E}\|e^n\|^2 + C\tau \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\|e^k\|^2,\quad 0 \le \beta < 1.$$

Finally, if $\tau < \frac{1}{2L_f}$, then by the discrete Gronwall lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}\|e_n\|^2 \le C\tau^\beta \mathrm{e}^{Ct_n} \le C\tau^\beta,\ 0 \le \beta < 1,$$

and the theorem is established.

3 Error analysis for the nonlinear random problem

In this section we bound the term $\mathbb{E}\big[\|\bar{v}^n - v^n\|^2\big]$ in (14). We use the global Lipschitz condition (2).

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $\tau L_f \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Then, with $C = 2L_f T e^{2L_f T}$,*

$$\max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \Big(\mathbb{E}\big[\|\bar{v}^n - v^n\|^2\big] \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} \Big(\mathbb{E}\big[\|w_J^n - w^n\|^2\big] \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Let $e^n := \bar{v}^n - v^n$. Then, we have by (9b) and (11)

$$e^n + \tau A e^n = \tau\big(f(\bar{v}^n + w_J^n) - f(v^n + w^n)\big) + e^{n-1}.$$

Since $e^0 = 0$, we get by induction

$$e^n = \tau \sum_{j=1}^{n} (I + \tau A)^{-(n+1-j)} \big(f(\bar{v}^j + w_J^j) - f(v^j + w^j)\big).$$

In view of the global Lipschitz condition (2), this results in the estimate

$$\|e^n\| \leq L_f \tau \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|(I + \tau A)^{-(n+1-j)}\| \, \|\bar{v}^j + w_J^j - v^j - w^j\|$$

$$\leq L_f \tau \sum_{j=1}^{n} \big(\|w_J^j - w^j\| + \|e^j\|\big),$$

since $\|(I + \tau A)^{-1}\| \leq 1$. Thus, we obtain

$$\|e^n\| \leq (1 - L_f \tau)^{-1} L_f \tau \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|w_J^j - w^j\| + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \|e^j\| \Big).$$

With $L_f \tau \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we complete the proof by the standard discrete Gronwall lemma.

4 Wavelets

4.1 Wavelet bases

In this section, we collect the notation and the main properties of wavelets that will be needed in the sequel. We refer to [4, 7, 15] for more details on wavelet methods for PDEs. For the space discretization, let

$$\Psi = \{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathscr{J}^\Psi\}, \quad \tilde{\Psi} = \{\tilde{\psi}_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathscr{J}^\Psi\}$$

be a biorthogonal basis of H, i.e., in particular $(\psi_\lambda, \tilde{\psi}_\mu)_H = \delta_{\delta,\mu}$. Here, λ typically is an index vector $\lambda = (j,k)$ containing both the information on the level $j = |\lambda|$ and the location in space k (e.g., the center of the support of ψ_λ). Note that Ψ also contains the scaling functions on the coarsest level that are not wavelets. We will refer to $|\lambda| = 0$ as the level of the *scaling functions*.

In addition, we assume that $\psi_\lambda \in V$, which is an assumption on the regularity (and boundary conditions) of the primal wavelets. To be precise, we pose the following assumptions on the wavelet bases:

1. Regularity: $\psi_\lambda \in H^t(\mathscr{D})$, $\lambda \in \mathscr{J}^\Psi$ for all $0 \le t < s_\Psi$;
2. Vanishing moments: $((\cdot)^r, \psi_\lambda)_{0;\mathscr{D}} = 0$, $0 \le r < m_\Psi$, $|\lambda| > 0$.
3. Locality: $\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{supp}\psi_\Lambda) \sim 2^{-|\lambda|}$.

We assume the same properties for the dual wavelet basis with s_Ψ and m_Ψ replaced by $\tilde{s}_\Psi$ and $\tilde{m}_\Psi$. Note that the dual wavelet $\tilde{\psi}_\lambda$ does not need to be in V, typically one expects $\tilde{\psi}_\lambda \in V'$.

We will consider (often finite-dimensional) subspaces generated by (adaptively generated finite) sets of indices $\Lambda \subset \mathscr{J}^\Psi$ and

$$\Psi_\Lambda := \{\psi_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \quad S_\Lambda := \operatorname{clos}\operatorname{span}(\Psi_\Lambda),$$

where the closure is of course not needed if Λ is a finite set. If $\Lambda = \Lambda_J := \{\lambda \in \mathscr{J}^\Psi : |\lambda| \le J-1\}$, then $S_J := S_{\Lambda_J}$ contains all wavelets up to level $J-1$ so that S_J coincides with the multiresolution space generated by all scaling functions on level J, i.e.,

$$S_J = \operatorname{span}\Phi_J, \quad \Phi_J = \{\varphi_{J,k} : k \in \mathscr{I}_J\}, \tag{23}$$

where $\mathscr{I}_J$ is an appropriate index set.

4.2 Adaptive wavelet methods for nonlinear variational problems

In this section, we quote from [6] the main facts on adaptive wavelet methods for solving stationary nonlinear variational problems. Note, that all what is said in this section is taken from [6]. However, we abandon further reference for easier reading.

Let $F\colon V \to V'$ be a nonlinear map. We consider the problem of finding $u \in V$ such that
$$\langle v, R(u)\rangle := \langle v, F(u) - g\rangle = 0, \quad v \in V, \tag{24}$$

where $g \in V'$ is given. As an example, let F be given as $\langle v, F(u)\rangle := a(v,u) + \langle v, f(u)\rangle$ which covers (11). The main idea is to consider an equivalent formulation of (24) in terms of the wavelet coefficients $\mathbf{u}$ of the unknown solution $u = \mathbf{u}^T \Psi$. Setting
$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{v}) := (\langle \psi_\lambda, R(v)\rangle)_{\lambda \in \mathscr{J}^\Psi}, \quad v = \mathbf{v}^T \Psi,$$

the equivalent formulation amounts to finding $\mathbf{u} \in \ell_2(\mathscr{J}^\Psi)$ such that

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{0}. \tag{25}$$

The next ingredient is a basic iteration in the (infinite-dimensional) space $\ell_2(\mathscr{J}^{\Psi})$ and replacing the infinite operator applications in an adaptive way by finite approximations in order to obtain a computable version. Starting by some finite $\mathbf{u}^{(0)}$, the iteration reads

$$\mathbf{u}^{(n+1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(n)} - \Delta\mathbf{u}^{(n)}, \quad \Delta\mathbf{u}^{(n)} := \mathbf{B}^{(n)}\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{u}^{(n)}) \tag{26}$$

where the operator $\mathbf{B}^{(n)}$ is to be chosen and determines the nonlinear solution method (such as Richardson or Newton). The sequence $\Delta\mathbf{u}^{(n)} = \mathbf{B}^{(n)}\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{u}^{(n)})$ (possibly infinite even for finite input $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$) is then replaced by some finite sequence $\mathbf{w}_{\eta}^{(n)} := \mathbf{RES}[\eta_n, \mathbf{B}^{(n)}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{u}^{(n)}]$ such that

$$\|\Delta\mathbf{u}^{(n)} - \mathbf{w}_{\eta}^{(n)}\| \leq \eta_n.$$

Replacing $\Delta\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ by $\mathbf{w}_{\eta}^{(n)}$ in (26) and choosing the sequence of tolerances $(\eta_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ appropriately results in a convergent algorithm such that any tolerance ε is reached after finitely many steps. We set $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\varepsilon) := \mathbf{SOLVE}[\varepsilon, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{B}^{(n)}, \mathbf{u}^{(0)}]$ such that we get $\|\mathbf{u} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\varepsilon)\| \leq \varepsilon$.

In terms of optimality, there are several issues to be considered:

- How many iterations $n(\varepsilon)$ are required in order to achieve ε-accuracy?
- How many "active" coefficients are needed to represent the numerical approximation and how does that compare with a "best" approximation?
- How many operations (arithmetic, storage) and how much storage is required?

In order to quantify that, one considers so-called *approximation classes*

$$\mathscr{A}^s := \{\mathbf{v} \in \ell_2(\mathscr{J}^{\Psi}) : \sigma_N(\mathbf{v}) \lesssim N^{-s}\}$$

of all those sequences whose *error of best N-term approximation*

$$\sigma_N(\mathbf{v}) := \min\{\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|_{\ell_2} : \# \operatorname{supp}\mathbf{w} \leq N\}$$

decays at a certain rate ($\operatorname{supp}\mathbf{v} := \{\lambda \in \mathscr{J}^{\Psi} : v_\lambda \neq 0\}$, $\mathbf{v} = (v_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathscr{J}^{\Psi}}$). For the case $V = H^t$ it is known that the solution being in some Besov space $u \in B_\tau^{t+ds}(L_\tau)$, $\tau = (s + \frac{1}{2})^{-1}$ implies that $\mathbf{u} \in \mathscr{A}^s$.

Let us first consider the case where $F = A$ is a linear elliptic partial differential operator, i.e., $Au = g \in V'$, where $A\colon V \to V'$, $g \in V'$ is given and $u \in V$ is to be determined. For the discretization we use a wavelet basis Ψ in H where rescaled versions admit Riesz bases in V and V', respectively. Then, the operator equation can equivalently be written as

$$\mathbf{Au} = \mathbf{g} \in \ell_2(\mathscr{J}^{\Psi}),$$

where $\mathbf{A} := \mathbf{D}^{-1} a(\Psi, \Psi) \mathbf{D}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{g} := \mathbf{D}^{-1}(g, \Psi)$ and $\mathbf{u} := \mathbf{D}(u_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \mathscr{J}^\Psi}$, with u_λ being the wavelet coefficients of the unknown function $u \in V$, $\|u\|_V \sim \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\ell_2(\mathscr{J}^\Psi)}$. Wavelet preconditioning results in the fact that $\kappa_2(\mathbf{A}) < \infty$, [5].

The (biinfinite) matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is said to be s^*-*compressible*, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathscr{C}_{s^*}$, if for any $0 < s < s^*$ and every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a matrix $\mathbf{A}_j$ with the following properties: For some summable sequence $(\alpha_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, the matrix $\mathbf{A}_j$ is obtained by replacing all but the order of $\alpha_j 2^j$ entries per row and column in $\mathbf{A}$ by zero and satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_j\| \leq C\alpha_j 2^{-js}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Wavelet representations of differential (and certain integral) operators fall into this category. Typically, s^* depends on the regularity and the order of vanishing moments of the wavelets. Then, one can construct a linear counterpart $\mathbf{RES}_{\text{lin}}$ of $\mathbf{RES}$ such that $\mathbf{w}_\eta := \mathbf{RES}_{\text{lin}}[\eta, \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{v}]$ for finite input $\mathbf{v}$ satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{w}_\eta - (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{g})\|_{\ell_2} \leq \eta, \tag{27a}$$

$$\|\mathbf{w}_\eta\|_{\mathscr{A}^s} \lesssim (\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathscr{A}^s} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathscr{A}^s}), \tag{27b}$$

$$\# \text{supp}\, \mathbf{w}_\eta \lesssim \eta^{-1/s} (\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathscr{A}^s}^{1/s} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathscr{A}^s}^{1/s}), \tag{27c}$$

where the constants in (27b), (27c) depend only on s. Here, we have used the quasi-norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathscr{A}^s} := \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} N^s \sigma_N(\mathbf{v}).$$

This is the main ingredient for proving optimality of the scheme in the following sense.

Theorem 4.1 ([5, 6]). *If* $\mathbf{A} \in \mathscr{C}_{s^*}$ *and if the exact solution* $\mathbf{u}$ *of* $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{g}$ *satifies* $\mathbf{u} \in \mathscr{A}^s$, $s < s^*$, *then* $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\varepsilon) = \mathbf{SOLVE}_{\text{lin}}[\varepsilon]$ *satisfies*

$$\|\mathbf{u} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\varepsilon)\| \leq \varepsilon, \tag{28a}$$

$$\# \text{supp}\, \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1/s}, \tag{28b}$$

$$\textit{computational complexity} \sim \# \text{supp}\, \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\varepsilon). \tag{28c}$$

It turns out that most of what is said before also holds for the nonlinear case except that the analysis of the approximate evaluation of nonlinear expressions $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{v})$ poses a constraint on the structure of the active coefficients, namely that it has *tree structure*. In order to define this, one uses the notation $\mu \prec \lambda$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathscr{J}^\Psi$ to express that μ is a descendent of λ. In the univariate case with $\psi_\lambda = \psi_{j,k} = 2^{j/2} \psi(2^j \cdot -k)$ this is obvious since $(j+1, 2k)$ and $(j+1, 2k+1)$ are children of λ. In higher dimensions and even on more complex domains this can also be defined – with some more technical effort, however.

Then, a set $\mathscr{T} \subset \mathscr{J}^\Psi$ is called a *tree* if $\lambda \in \mathscr{T}$ implies $\mu \in \mathscr{T}$ for all $\mu \in \mathscr{J}^\Psi$ with $\lambda \prec \mu$. Given this, the error of the *best N-term tree approximation* is given as

$$\sigma_N^{\text{tree}}(\mathbf{v}) := \min\{\|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|_{\ell_2} : \mathscr{T} := \# \text{supp}\, \mathbf{w} \text{ is a tree and } \# \mathscr{T} \leq N\}$$

and define the *tree approximation space* as

$$\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s := \{\mathbf{v} \in \ell_2(\mathscr{J}^\Psi) : \sigma_N^{\text{tree}}(\mathbf{v}) \lesssim N^{-s}\}$$

which is a quasi-normed space under the quasi-norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s} := \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} N^s \sigma_N^{\text{tree}}(\mathbf{v}).$$

The extension of the s^*-compressibility $\mathscr{C}_{s^*}$ is the s^*-*sparsity* of the scheme **RES** which is defined by the following property: *If the exact solution* $\mathbf{u}$ *of* (25) *is in* $\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s$ *for some* $s < s^*$, *then* $\mathbf{w}_\eta := \mathbf{RES}[\eta, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{v}]$ *for finite* $\mathbf{v}$ *satisfies*

$$\|\mathbf{w}_\eta\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s} \leq C(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s} + 1),$$

$$\#\operatorname{supp}\mathbf{w}_\eta \leq C\eta^{-1/s}(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s}^{1/s} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s}^{1/s} + 1),$$

$$comp.\ complexity \sim C(\eta^{-1/s}(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s}^{1/s} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s}^{1/s} + 1) + \#\mathscr{T}(\operatorname{supp}\mathbf{v})),$$

where C *depends only on* s *when* $s \to s^*$ *and* $\mathscr{T}(\operatorname{supp}\mathbf{v})$ *denotes the smallest tree containing* $\operatorname{supp}\mathbf{v}$. Now, we are ready to collect the main result.

Theorem 4.2 ([6, Theorem 6.1]). *If* **RES** *is* s^*-*sparse,* $s^* > 0$ *and if* $\mathbf{u} \in \mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s$ *for some* $s < s^*$, *then the approximations* $\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\varepsilon)$ *satisfy* $\|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}(\varepsilon)\| \leq \varepsilon$ *with*

$$\#\operatorname{supp}\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-1/s}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s}^{1/s}, \quad \|\bar{\mathbf{u}}(\varepsilon)\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s} \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s},$$

where C *depends only on* s *when* $s \to s^*$. *The number of operations is bounded by* $C\varepsilon^{-1/s}\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathscr{A}_{\text{tree}}^s}^{1/s}$.

4.3 Multiresolution Galerkin methods

Let $S_J = S_{\Lambda_J}$ be a multiresolution space (23). The multiresolution Galerkin approximation of the equation $Au = f$ in V' is to find $u_J \in S_J$ such that

$$a(u_J, v_J) = (f, v_J) \quad \forall v \in S_J. \tag{29}$$

Define the orthogonal projector $P_J \colon H \to S_J$ by

$$(P_J v, w_J) = (v, w_J), \quad v \in H, \ w_J \in S_J. \tag{30}$$

Note that P_J can be extended to V' by (30) since $S_J \subset V$. Next, we define the operator $A_J \colon S_J \to S_J$ by

$$a(A_J v_J, w_J) = a(v_J, w_J), \quad u_J, v_J \in S_J.$$

Then (29) reads $A_J u_J = P_J f$ in S_J. Alternatively we may write $u_J = R_J u$, where $R_J \colon V \to S_J$ is the *Ritz projector*, defined by

$$a(R_J v, w_J) = a(v, w_J), \quad v \in V, \ w_J \in S_J.$$

The multiresolution space is of order m if

$$\inf_{w_J \in S_J} \|v - w_J\| \lesssim 2^{-mJ} \|v\|_{m;\mathscr{D}}, \quad v \in H^m(\mathscr{D}) \cap V.$$

Standard arguments then show, using elliptic regularity thanks to our assumptions on $\mathscr{D}$, that $\|u_J - u\| \lesssim 2^{-mJ} \|u\|_{m;\mathscr{D}}$, or in other words

$$\|v - R_J v\| \lesssim 2^{-mJ} \|v\|_{m;\mathscr{D}}, \quad v \in H^m(\mathscr{D}) \cap V. \tag{31}$$

5 Error analysis for the stochastic convolution

The next lemma is of independent interest and we state it in a general form.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $-A$ and $-B$ generate strongly continuous semigroups e^{-tA} and e^{-tB} on a Banach space X and let $r(s) = (1+s)^{-1}$. Then, for all $x, y \in X$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau > 0$,*

$$\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|r^n(\tau B) y - r^n(\tau A) x\|^p \le \int_0^\infty \|\mathrm{e}^{-tB} y - \mathrm{e}^{-tA} x\|^p \, \mathrm{d}t, \quad 1 \le p < \infty, \tag{32}$$

$$\|r^n(\tau B) y - r^n(\tau A) x\| \le \sup_{t \ge 0} \|\mathrm{e}^{-tB} y - \mathrm{e}^{-tA} x\|. \tag{33}$$

Proof. By the Hille-Phillips functional calculus, we have

$$r^n(\tau B) y - r^n(\tau A) x = \int_0^\infty (\mathrm{e}^{-t\tau B} y - \mathrm{e}^{-t\tau A} x) f_n(t) \, \mathrm{d}t, \tag{34}$$

where f_n denotes the nth convolution power of $f(t) = \mathrm{e}^{-t}$. Since $\|f_n\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}_+)} = 1$ inequality (33) follows immediately by Hölder's inequality. To see (32) we note that f_n is a probability density and hence by Jensen's inequality and (34),

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|r^n(\tau B) y - r^n(\tau A) x\|^p &= \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \int_0^\infty (\mathrm{e}^{-t\tau B} y - \mathrm{e}^{-t\tau A} x) f_n(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \right\|^p \\
&\le \tau \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_0^\infty \|\mathrm{e}^{-t\tau B} y - \mathrm{e}^{-t\tau A} x\|^p f_n(t) \, \mathrm{d}t \\
&= \int_0^\infty \|\mathrm{e}^{-tB} y - \mathrm{e}^{-tA} x\|^p \, \mathrm{d}t \, \sup_{t>0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by monotone convergence, the Laplace transform of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n$ is given by

$$\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n\Big)^{\widehat{}}(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{f}_n(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Big(\frac{1}{1+\lambda}\Big)^n = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Thus, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \equiv 1$ and the proof is complete.

Next we derive an error estimate for the multiresolution approximation of the semigroup e^{-tA} and its Euler approximation $r^n(\tau A)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let S_J be a multiresolution space of order m and let A, A_J, and P_J be as in Subsection 4.3. Then, for $T \geq 0$, $N \geq 1$, τ, we have*

$$\Big(\int_0^T \|e^{-tA_J}P_J v - e^{-tA}v\|^2 \, dt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C2^{-J\beta}\|v\|_{\beta-1}, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq m, \tag{35}$$

and

$$\Big(\tau\sum_{n=1}^N \|r^n(\tau A_J)P_J v - r^n(\tau A)v\|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C2^{-J\beta}\|v\|_{\beta-1}, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq m. \tag{36}$$

Proof. Estimate (35) is known in the finite element context, see for example [14, Theorem 2.5], and may be proved in a completely analogous fashion for using the approximation property (31) of the Ritz projection R_J, the parabolic smoothing (18), and interpolation. Finally, (36) follows from (35) by using Lemma 5.1 with $x = v$, $y = P_J v$, and $B = A_J$. (Note that C is independent of T.)

Now we are ready to consider the multiresolution approximation of w^n in (9a).

Theorem 5.3. *Let S_J be a multiresolution space of order m and w and w_J^n the solutions of* (9a) *and* (10)*. If $\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{HS} < \infty$ for some $0 \leq \beta \leq m$, then*

$$(\mathbb{E}[\|w_J^n - w^n\|^2])^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C2^{-J\beta}\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{HS}.$$

Proof. Let $t_k = k\tau$, $k = 0, ..., n$. By (10), (9a), and induction,

$$w_J^n - w^n = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \big[r^{n-k+1}(\tau A_J)P_J - r^{n-k+1}(\tau A)\big] \, dW(s),$$

whence, by Itô's isometry, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\|w_J^n - w^n\|^2] = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_k} \big\| \big[r^{n-k+1}(\tau A_J)P_J - r^{n-k+1}(\tau A)\big]Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \big\|_{HS}^2 \, ds$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \tau\big\| \big[r^k(\tau A_J)P_J - r^k(\tau A)\big]Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \big\|_{HS}^2.$$

Let $\{e_l\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of H. Then, using Lemma 5.2, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\|w_J^n - w^n\|^2] = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau \|[r^k(\tau A_J)P_J - r^k(\tau A)]Q^{\frac{1}{2}}e_l\|^2$$

$$\leq C\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-2J\beta}\|Q^{\frac{1}{2}}e_l\|_{\beta-1}^2 = C2^{-2J\beta}\|A^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2.$$

References

1. Cioca, P.A., Dahlke, S., Döhring, N., Friedrich, U., Kinzel, S., Lindner, F., Raasch, T., Ritter, K., Schilling, R.: On the convergence analysis of Rothe's method. Preprint Nr. 124, DFG-Schwerpunktprogramm 1324 "Extraktion Quantifizierbarer Information aus Komplexen Systemen" (2012).
2. Cioca, P.A., Dahlke, S., Döhring, N., Kinzel, S., Lindner, F., Raasch, T., Ritter, K., Schilling, R.: Adaptive wavelet methods for the stochastic Poisson equation. BIT Numer. Math. (2012) doi: 10.1007/s10543-011-0368-7.
3. Cioca, P.A., Dahlke, S., Kinzel, S., Lindner, F., Raasch, T., Ritter, K., Schilling, R.: Spatial Besov regularity for stochastic partial differential equations on lipschitz domains. Stud. Math. **207**, 197–234 (2011).
4. Cohen, A.: Wavelet methods in numerical analysis. Handbook of Numerical Analysis **7**, 417–711 (2000).
5. Cohen, A., Dahmen, W., DeVore, R.A.: Adaptive wavelet schemes for elliptic operator equations — convergence rates. Math. Comp. **70**, 27–75 (2001).
6. Cohen, A., Dahmen, W., DeVore, R.A.: Adaptive wavelet schemes for nonlinear variational problems. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **41**, 1785–1823 (2003).
7. Dahmen, W.: Wavelet and multiscale methods for operator equations. Acta Numerica **6**, 55–228 (1997).
8. Da Prato, G., Zabczyk, J.: Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1992).
9. Kovács, M., Lindgren, F., Larsson, S.: Strong convergence of the finite element method with truncated noise for semilinear parabolic stochastic equations with additive noise. Numer. Algorithms **53**, 309–320 (2010).
10. Kovács, M., Lindgren, F., Larsson, S.: Spatial approximation of stochastic convolutions. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **235**, 3554–3570 (2011).
11. Kruse, R.: Optimal error estimates of Galerkin finite element methods for stochastic partial differential equations with multiplicative noise. arXiv:1103.4504v1 [math.NA] (2011).
12. Pazy, A.: Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag, New York (1983).
13. Printems, J.: On the discretization in time of parabolic stochastic partial differential equations. Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **35**, 1055–1078 (2001).
14. Thomée, V.: Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems. Second ed. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2006).
15. Urban, K.: Wavelet Methods for Elliptic Partial Differential Equations, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).
16. Yan, Y.: Galerkin finite element methods for stochastic parabolic partial differential equations. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **43**, 1363–1384 (2005).

Preprint Series DFG-SPP 1324

http://www.dfg-spp1324.de

Reports

[1] R. Ramlau, G. Teschke, and M. Zhariy. A Compressive Landweber Iteration for Solving Ill-Posed Inverse Problems. Preprint 1, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2008.

[2] G. Plonka. The Easy Path Wavelet Transform: A New Adaptive Wavelet Transform for Sparse Representation of Two-dimensional Data. Preprint 2, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2008.

[3] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. Optimal Order of Convergence and (In-) Tractability of Multivariate Approximation of Smooth Functions. Preprint 3, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2008.

[4] M. Espig, L. Grasedyck, and W. Hackbusch. Black Box Low Tensor Rank Approximation Using Fibre-Crosses. Preprint 4, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2008.

[5] T. Bonesky, S. Dahlke, P. Maass, and T. Raasch. Adaptive Wavelet Methods and Sparsity Reconstruction for Inverse Heat Conduction Problems. Preprint 5, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2009.

[6] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. Approximation of Infinitely Differentiable Multivariate Functions Is Intractable. Preprint 6, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2009.

[7] J. Ma and G. Plonka. A Review of Curvelets and Recent Applications. Preprint 7, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2009.

[8] L. Denis, D. A. Lorenz, and D. Trede. Greedy Solution of Ill-Posed Problems: Error Bounds and Exact Inversion. Preprint 8, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.

[9] U. Friedrich. A Two Parameter Generalization of Lions' Nonoverlapping Domain Decomposition Method for Linear Elliptic PDEs. Preprint 9, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.

[10] K. Bredies and D. A. Lorenz. Minimization of Non-smooth, Non-convex Functionals by Iterative Thresholding. Preprint 10, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.

[11] K. Bredies and D. A. Lorenz. Regularization with Non-convex Separable Constraints. Preprint 11, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.

[12] M. Döhler, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Nonequispaced Hyperbolic Cross Fast Fourier Transform. Preprint 12, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.

[13] C. Bender. Dual Pricing of Multi-Exercise Options under Volume Constraints. Preprint 13, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2009.

[14] T. Müller-Gronbach and K. Ritter. Variable Subspace Sampling and Multi-level Algorithms. Preprint 14, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2009.

[15] G. Plonka, S. Tenorth, and A. Iske. Optimally Sparse Image Representation by the Easy Path Wavelet Transform. Preprint 15, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2009.

[16] S. Dahlke, E. Novak, and W. Sickel. Optimal Approximation of Elliptic Problems by Linear and Nonlinear Mappings IV: Errors in L_2 and Other Norms. Preprint 16, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2009.

[17] B. Jin, T. Khan, P. Maass, and M. Pidcock. Function Spaces and Optimal Currents in Impedance Tomography. Preprint 17, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2009.

[18] G. Plonka and J. Ma. Curvelet-Wavelet Regularized Split Bregman Iteration for Compressed Sensing. Preprint 18, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2009.

[19] G. Teschke and C. Borries. Accelerated Projected Steepest Descent Method for Nonlinear Inverse Problems with Sparsity Constraints. Preprint 19, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2009.

[20] L. Grasedyck. Hierarchical Singular Value Decomposition of Tensors. Preprint 20, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2009.

[21] D. Rudolf. Error Bounds for Computing the Expectation by Markov Chain Monte Carlo. Preprint 21, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2009.

[22] M. Hansen and W. Sickel. Best m-term Approximation and Lizorkin-Triebel Spaces. Preprint 22, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.

[23] F.J. Hickernell, T. Müller-Gronbach, B. Niu, and K. Ritter. Multi-level Monte Carlo Algorithms for Infinite-dimensional Integration on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Preprint 23, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.

[24] S. Dereich and F. Heidenreich. A Multilevel Monte Carlo Algorithm for Lévy Driven Stochastic Differential Equations. Preprint 24, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.

[25] S. Dahlke, M. Fornasier, and T. Raasch. Multilevel Preconditioning for Adaptive Sparse Optimization. Preprint 25, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.

[26] S. Dereich. Multilevel Monte Carlo Algorithms for Lévy-driven SDEs with Gaussian Correction. Preprint 26, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2009.

[27] G. Plonka, S. Tenorth, and D. Roşca. A New Hybrid Method for Image Approximation using the Easy Path Wavelet Transform. Preprint 27, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2009.

[28] O. Koch and C. Lubich. Dynamical Low-rank Approximation of Tensors. Preprint 28, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.

[29] E. Faou, V. Gradinaru, and C. Lubich. Computing Semi-classical Quantum Dynamics with Hagedorn Wavepackets. Preprint 29, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.

[30] D. Conte and C. Lubich. An Error Analysis of the Multi-configuration Time-dependent Hartree Method of Quantum Dynamics. Preprint 30, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.

[31] C. E. Powell and E. Ullmann. Preconditioning Stochastic Galerkin Saddle Point Problems. Preprint 31, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.

[32] O. G. Ernst and E. Ullmann. Stochastic Galerkin Matrices. Preprint 32, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.

[33] F. Lindner and R. L. Schilling. Weak Order for the Discretization of the Stochastic Heat Equation Driven by Impulsive Noise. Preprint 33, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2009.

[34] L. Kämmerer and S. Kunis. On the Stability of the Hyperbolic Cross Discrete Fourier Transform. Preprint 34, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2009.

[35] P. Cerejeiras, M. Ferreira, U. Kähler, and G. Teschke. Inversion of the noisy Radon transform on $SO(3)$ by Gabor frames and sparse recovery principles. Preprint 35, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2010.

[36] T. Jahnke and T. Udrescu. Solving Chemical Master Equations by Adaptive Wavelet Compression. Preprint 36, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2010.

[37] P. Kittipoom, G. Kutyniok, and W.-Q Lim. Irregular Shearlet Frames: Geometry and Approximation Properties. Preprint 37, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2010.

[38] G. Kutyniok and W.-Q Lim. Compactly Supported Shearlets are Optimally Sparse. Preprint 38, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2010.

[39] M. Hansen and W. Sickel. Best m-Term Approximation and Tensor Products of Sobolev and Besov Spaces – the Case of Non-compact Embeddings. Preprint 39, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2010.

[40] B. Niu, F.J. Hickernell, T. Müller-Gronbach, and K. Ritter. Deterministic Multi-level Algorithms for Infinite-dimensional Integration on $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Preprint 40, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2010.

[41] P. Kittipoom, G. Kutyniok, and W.-Q Lim. Construction of Compactly Supported Shearlet Frames. Preprint 41, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2010.

[42] C. Bender and J. Steiner. Error Criteria for Numerical Solutions of Backward SDEs. Preprint 42, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2010.

[43] L. Grasedyck. Polynomial Approximation in Hierarchical Tucker Format by Vector-Tensorization. Preprint 43, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2010.

[44] M. Hansen und W. Sickel. Best m-Term Approximation and Sobolev-Besov Spaces of Dominating Mixed Smoothness - the Case of Compact Embeddings. Preprint 44, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2010.

[45] P. Binev, W. Dahmen, and P. Lamby. Fast High-Dimensional Approximation with Sparse Occupancy Trees. Preprint 45, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2010.

[46] J. Ballani and L. Grasedyck. A Projection Method to Solve Linear Systems in Tensor Format. Preprint 46, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2010.

[47] P. Binev, A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, G. Petrova, and P. Wojtaszczyk. Convergence Rates for Greedy Algorithms in Reduced Basis Methods. Preprint 47, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2010.

[48] S. Kestler and K. Urban. Adaptive Wavelet Methods on Unbounded Domains. Preprint 48, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.

[49] H. Yserentant. The Mixed Regularity of Electronic Wave Functions Multiplied by Explicit Correlation Factors. Preprint 49, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.

[50] H. Yserentant. On the Complexity of the Electronic Schrödinger Equation. Preprint 50, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.

[51] M. Guillemard and A. Iske. Curvature Analysis of Frequency Modulated Manifolds in Dimensionality Reduction. Preprint 51, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2010.

[52] E. Herrholz and G. Teschke. Compressive Sensing Principles and Iterative Sparse Recovery for Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems. Preprint 52, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.

[53] L. Kämmerer, S. Kunis, and D. Potts. Interpolation Lattices for Hyperbolic Cross Trigonometric Polynomials. Preprint 53, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.

[54] G. Kutyniok and W.-Q Lim. Shearlets on Bounded Domains. Preprint 54, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.

[55] A. Zeiser. Wavelet Approximation in Weighted Sobolev Spaces of Mixed Order with Applications to the Electronic Schrödinger Equation. Preprint 55, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.

[56] G. Kutyniok, J. Lemvig, and W.-Q Lim. Compactly Supported Shearlets. Preprint 56, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.

[57] A. Zeiser. On the Optimality of the Inexact Inverse Iteration Coupled with Adaptive Finite Element Methods. Preprint 57, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2010.

[58] S. Jokar. Sparse Recovery and Kronecker Products. Preprint 58, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2010.

[59] T. Aboiyar, E. H. Georgoulis, and A. Iske. Adaptive ADER Methods Using Kernel-Based Polyharmonic Spline WENO Reconstruction. Preprint 59, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2010.

[60] O. G. Ernst, A. Mugler, H.-J. Starkloff, and E. Ullmann. On the Convergence of Generalized Polynomial Chaos Expansions. Preprint 60, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2010.

[61] S. Holtz, T. Rohwedder, and R. Schneider. On Manifolds of Tensors of Fixed TT-Rank. Preprint 61, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2010.

[62] J. Ballani, L. Grasedyck, and M. Kluge. Black Box Approximation of Tensors in Hierarchical Tucker Format. Preprint 62, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2010.

[63] M. Hansen. On Tensor Products of Quasi-Banach Spaces. Preprint 63, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2010.

[64] S. Dahlke, G. Steidl, and G. Teschke. Shearlet Coorbit Spaces: Compactly Supported Analyzing Shearlets, Traces and Embeddings. Preprint 64, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2010.

[65] W. Hackbusch. Tensorisation of Vectors and their Efficient Convolution. Preprint 65, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.

[66] P. A. Cioica, S. Dahlke, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R. L. Schilling. Spatial Besov Regularity for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations on Lipschitz Domains. Preprint 66, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.

[67] E. Novak and H. Woźniakowski. On the Power of Function Values for the Approximation Problem in Various Settings. Preprint 67, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.

[68] A. Hinrichs, E. Novak, and H. Woźniakowski. The Curse of Dimensionality for Monotone and Convex Functions of Many Variables. Preprint 68, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.

[69] G. Kutyniok and W.-Q Lim. Image Separation Using Shearlets. Preprint 69, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2010.

[70] B. Jin and P. Maass. An Analysis of Electrical Impedance Tomography with Applications to Tikhonov Regularization. Preprint 70, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.

[71] S. Holtz, T. Rohwedder, and R. Schneider. The Alternating Linear Scheme for Tensor Optimisation in the TT Format. Preprint 71, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.

[72] T. Müller-Gronbach and K. Ritter. A Local Refinement Strategy for Constructive Quantization of Scalar SDEs. Preprint 72, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.

[73] T. Rohwedder and R. Schneider. An Analysis for the DIIS Acceleration Method used in Quantum Chemistry Calculations. Preprint 73, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.

[74] C. Bender and J. Steiner. Least-Squares Monte Carlo for Backward SDEs. Preprint 74, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.

[75] C. Bender. Primal and Dual Pricing of Multiple Exercise Options in Continuous Time. Preprint 75, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.

[76] H. Harbrecht, M. Peters, and R. Schneider. On the Low-rank Approximation by the Pivoted Cholesky Decomposition. Preprint 76, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2010.

[77] P. A. Cioica, S. Dahlke, N. Döhring, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R. L. Schilling. Adaptive Wavelet Methods for Elliptic Stochastic Partial Differential Equations. Preprint 77, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[78] G. Plonka, S. Tenorth, and A. Iske. Optimal Representation of Piecewise Hölder Smooth Bivariate Functions by the Easy Path Wavelet Transform. Preprint 78, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[79] A. Mugler and H.-J. Starkloff. On Elliptic Partial Differential Equations with Random Coefficients. Preprint 79, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[80] T. Müller-Gronbach, K. Ritter, and L. Yaroslavtseva. A Derandomization of the Euler Scheme for Scalar Stochastic Differential Equations. Preprint 80, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[81] W. Dahmen, C. Huang, C. Schwab, and G. Welper. Adaptive Petrov-Galerkin methods for first order transport equations. Preprint 81, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[82] K. Grella and C. Schwab. Sparse Tensor Spherical Harmonics Approximation in Radiative Transfer. Preprint 82, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[83] D.A. Lorenz, S. Schiffler, and D. Trede. Beyond Convergence Rates: Exact Inversion With Tikhonov Regularization With Sparsity Constraints. Preprint 83, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[84] S. Dereich, M. Scheutzow, and R. Schottstedt. Constructive quantization: Approximation by empirical measures. Preprint 84, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[85] S. Dahlke and W. Sickel. On Besov Regularity of Solutions to Nonlinear Elliptic Partial Differential Equations. Preprint 85, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[86] S. Dahlke, U. Friedrich, P. Maass, T. Raasch, and R.A. Ressel. An adaptive wavelet method for parameter identification problems in parabolic partial differential equations. Preprint 86, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[87] A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, and G. Welper. Adaptivity and Variational Stabilization for Convection-Diffusion Equations. Preprint 87, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[88] T. Jahnke. On Reduced Models for the Chemical Master Equation. Preprint 88, DFG-SPP 1324, January 2011.

[89] P. Binev, W. Dahmen, R. DeVore, P. Lamby, D. Savu, and R. Sharpley. Compressed Sensing and Electron Microscopy. Preprint 89, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2011.

[90] P. Binev, F. Blanco-Silva, D. Blom, W. Dahmen, P. Lamby, R. Sharpley, and T. Vogt. High Quality Image Formation by Nonlocal Means Applied to High-Angle Annular Dark Field Scanning Transmission Electron Microscopy (HAADF-STEM). Preprint 90, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2011.

[91] R. A. Ressel. A Parameter Identification Problem for a Nonlinear Parabolic Differential Equation. Preprint 91, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2011.

[92] G. Kutyniok. Data Separation by Sparse Representations. Preprint 92, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2011.

[93] M. A. Davenport, M. F. Duarte, Y. C. Eldar, and G. Kutyniok. Introduction to Compressed Sensing. Preprint 93, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2011.

[94] H.-C. Kreusler and H. Yserentant. The Mixed Regularity of Electronic Wave Functions in Fractional Order and Weighted Sobolev Spaces. Preprint 94, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.

[95] E. Ullmann, H. C. Elman, and O. G. Ernst. Efficient Iterative Solvers for Stochastic Galerkin Discretizations of Log-Transformed Random Diffusion Problems. Preprint 95, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.

[96] S. Kunis and I. Melzer. On the Butterfly Sparse Fourier Transform. Preprint 96, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.

[97] T. Rohwedder. The Continuous Coupled Cluster Formulation for the Electronic Schrödinger Equation. Preprint 97, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.

[98] T. Rohwedder and R. Schneider. Error Estimates for the Coupled Cluster Method. Preprint 98, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2011.

[99] P. A. Cioica and S. Dahlke. Spatial Besov Regularity for Semilinear Stochastic Partial Differential Equations on Bounded Lipschitz Domains. Preprint 99, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2011.

[100] L. Grasedyck and W. Hackbusch. An Introduction to Hierarchical (H-) Rank and TT-Rank of Tensors with Examples. Preprint 100, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2011.

[101] N. Chegini, S. Dahlke, U. Friedrich, and R. Stevenson. Piecewise Tensor Product Wavelet Bases by Extensions and Approximation Rates. Preprint 101, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.

[102] S. Dahlke, P. Oswald, and T. Raasch. A Note on Quarkonial Systems and Multilevel Partition of Unity Methods. Preprint 102, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.

[103] A. Uschmajew. Local Convergence of the Alternating Least Squares Algorithm For Canonical Tensor Approximation. Preprint 103, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.

[104] S. Kvaal. Multiconfigurational time-dependent Hartree method for describing particle loss due to absorbing boundary conditions. Preprint 104, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.

[105] M. Guillemard and A. Iske. On Groupoid C*-Algebras, Persistent Homology and Time-Frequency Analysis. Preprint 105, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.

[106] A. Hinrichs, E. Novak, and H. Woźniakowski. Discontinuous information in the worst case and randomized settings. Preprint 106, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.

[107] M. Espig, W. Hackbusch, A. Litvinenko, H. Matthies, and E. Zander. Efficient Analysis of High Dimensional Data in Tensor Formats. Preprint 107, DFG-SPP 1324, September 2011.

[108] M. Espig, W. Hackbusch, S. Handschuh, and R. Schneider. Optimization Problems in Contracted Tensor Networks. Preprint 108, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2011.

[109] S. Dereich, T. Müller-Gronbach, and K. Ritter. On the Complexity of Computing Quadrature Formulas for SDEs. Preprint 109, DFG-SPP 1324, October 2011.

[110] D. Belomestny. Solving optimal stopping problems by empirical dual optimization and penalization. Preprint 110, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2011.

[111] D. Belomestny and J. Schoenmakers. Multilevel dual approach for pricing American style derivatives. Preprint 111, DFG-SPP 1324, November 2011.

[112] T. Rohwedder and A. Uschmajew. Local convergence of alternating schemes for optimization of convex problems in the TT format. Preprint 112, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2011.

[113] T. Görner, R. Hielscher, and S. Kunis. Efficient and accurate computation of spherical mean values at scattered center points. Preprint 113, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2011.

[114] Y. Dong, T. Görner, and S. Kunis. An iterative reconstruction scheme for photoacoustic imaging. Preprint 114, DFG-SPP 1324, December 2011.

[115] L. Kämmerer. Reconstructing hyperbolic cross trigonometric polynomials by sampling along generated sets. Preprint 115, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.

[116] H. Chen and R. Schneider. Numerical analysis of augmented plane waves methods for full-potential electronic structure calculations. Preprint 116, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.

[117] J. Ma, G. Plonka, and M.Y. Hussaini. Compressive Video Sampling with Approximate Message Passing Decoding. Preprint 117, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.

[118] D. Heinen and G. Plonka. Wavelet shrinkage on paths for scattered data denoising. Preprint 118, DFG-SPP 1324, February 2012.

[119] T. Jahnke and M. Kreim. Error bound for piecewise deterministic processes modeling stochastic reaction systems. Preprint 119, DFG-SPP 1324, March 2012.

[120] C. Bender and J. Steiner. A-posteriori estimates for backward SDEs. Preprint 120, DFG-SPP 1324, April 2012.

[121] M. Espig, W. Hackbusch, A. Litvinenkoy, H.G. Matthiesy, and P. Wähnert. Efficient low-rank approximation of the stochastic Galerkin matrix in tensor formats. Preprint 121, DFG-SPP 1324, May 2012.

[122] O. Bokanowski, J. Garcke, M. Griebel, and I. Klompmaker. An adaptive sparse grid semi-Lagrangian scheme for first order Hamilton-Jacobi Bellman equations. Preprint 122, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2012.

[123] A. Mugler and H.-J. Starkloff. On the convergence of the stochastic Galerkin method for random elliptic partial differential equations. Preprint 123, DFG-SPP 1324, June 2012.

[124] P.A. Cioica, S. Dahlke, N. Döhring, U. Friedrich, S. Kinzel, F. Lindner, T. Raasch, K. Ritter, and R.L. Schilling. On the convergence analysis of Rothe's method. Preprint 124, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2012.

[125] P. Binev, A. Cohen, W. Dahmen, and R. DeVore. Classification Algorithms using Adaptive Partitioning. Preprint 125, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2012.

[126] C. Lubich, T. Rohwedder, R. Schneider, and B. Vandereycken. Dynamical approximation of hierarchical Tucker and Tensor-Train tensors. Preprint 126, DFG-SPP 1324, July 2012.

[127] M. Kovács, S. Larsson, and K. Urban. On Wavelet-Galerkin methods for semilinear parabolic equations with additive noise. Preprint 127, DFG-SPP 1324, August 2012.